## Comments to the author:

Dear author,

Thank you very much for your revised manuscript that, from my point of view, fully answers the referee's remark.

I just have a very minor comment ; I think the « number of the wettest days » on pp.4, 6 and 8 should be replaced by « number of wettest days » (as is used elsewhere in the text). It may seem as a detail but it took me a while to understand Fig 1b and I think I would have been quicker without the « the »!

With best regards, Sophie

## Response to the editor:

We appreciate your role as the editor of this manuscript and for providing valuable feedback. Following your suggestion, we have replaced "number of the wettest days" with "number of wettest days" in the text and Fig.1b.

## Notification to the authors:

The table is included as figure (Figure 13). Please re-label this as table and the references in the manuscript text must be adjusted accordingly. If the colour spectrum of these tables is necessary and cannot be exchanged for footnotes, bold, or italic, then the table must be inserted as an image, but still be called a table.

## **Response**:

We propose that Figure 13 be classified as a figure, given that the primary information it conveys is through color shading, while the numbers in boxes serve as annotations. May we keep Figure 13 as a figure?