Future changes in atmospheric rivers over East Asia under stratospheric aerosol intervention
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Abstract. Atmospheric rivers (ARs) are closely associated with historical extreme precipitation events over East Asia. The projected increase in such weather systems under global warming has been extensively discussed in previous studies, while the role of stratospheric aerosol, particularly for the implementation of stratospheric aerosol intervention (SAI), in such a change remains unknown. Based on an ensemble of the UK Earth System Model (UKESM1) simulations, here we investigate changes in the frequency of ARs and their associated mean and extreme precipitation under a range of climate forcing, including greenhouse gas emission scenarios of high (SSP5-8.5) and medium (SSP2-4.5) levels, the deployment of SAI geoengineering (G6sulfur) and solar dimming (G6solar). The result indicates a significant increase in AR frequency and AR-related precipitation over most of East Asia in a warmer climate and the most pronounced changes are observed in southern China. Comparing to G6solar and both the SSPs scenarios, the G6sulfur simulations indicate that SAI is effective in partly ameliorating the increases in AR activity over the subtropical region; however, it may result in more pronounced increases in ARs and associated precipitation over the upper-midlatitude regions, particularly northeastern China. Such a response is associated with the further weakening of the subtropical westerly jet stream under SAI that favours the upper-midlatitude AR activity. This is driven by the decreased meridional gradient of thermal expansion in the mid-high troposphere associated with aerosol cooling across the tropical region, though SAI effectively ameliorates the widespread increase in thermal expansion under climate warming. Such a side effect of SAI over the populated region implies that caution must be taken when considering geoengineering approaches to mitigating hydrological risk under climate change.

1 Introduction

East Asia is a populated region exposed to devastating and frequent hydrological extremes due to the influence of precipitating weather systems. One of such systems is that of atmospheric rivers (ARs) that feature elongated and intense moisture transport in the low troposphere. Numerous studies have suggested close linkages between ARs and extreme precipitation events over East Asia (Kamae et al., 2017a; 2017b; Pan and Lu, 2019; Liang et al., 2020; Fu et al., 2021; Kim et al., 2021; Park et al., 2021; Liang and Yong, 2021; 2022; Liang et al., 2022). The AR-precipitation association stems from different dynamical factors. First, the main AR pathway connects the mid-high latitudes of East Asia with the subtropical
moisture source regions, including the Arabian Sea, Bay of Bengal and South China Sea (Pan and Lu, 2019). Second, despite the abundant moisture within the AR plumes, the adjacent environment of ARs, in terms of precipitation efficiency, exhibits a relatively high efficiency for depleting atmospheric moisture to generate precipitation (Liang and Yong, 2021). This is dependent on the active synoptic-scale transient eddies in the downstream area of ARs, which further transport moisture to the mid-high latitudes and trigger precipitation through various physical processes such as the release of low-level potential instability and orographic lifting (Gimeno et al., 2016; Kamae et al., 2021; Park et al., 2021). Given the AR-precipitation linkage, the response of ARs to future climate warming might have implications for the regional climate in East Asia and this has attracted studies on projecting the possible future changes in ARs over this region. The first attempt to investigate the possible future changes in ARs over East Asia was reported by Espinoza et al. (2018) using an ensemble of coupled GCMs, which projected a pronounced increase in the frequency of ARs affecting southern China under the high-level greenhouse gas (GHG) emission scenario of the Representative Concentration Pathway (RCP8.5). Under the same scenario, more recent studies based on a high-resolution GCM projected similar results (Kamae et al., 2021). These similarities suggest that there is growing recognition of the projected increase in AR activity over East Asia under climate warming and such a change is not simply a function of the choice of methodology, although some studies, especially those based on the Tier-2 experiments of the Atmospheric River Tracking Method Intercomparison Project (ARTMIP, Payne et al., 2020; Collow et al., 2022; O’Brien et al., 2022), have suggested that the projected changes in ARs are susceptible to uncertainties in the algorithms used for detecting ARs and such uncertainties outweigh those in climate models and reanalysis products.

ARs can cause significant economic losses by triggering hydrological disasters such as flooding (Dominguez et al., 2018; Corrigham et al., 2019), landslides (Miller et al., 2018) and frozen precipitation (Guan et al., 2016; Liang and Sushama, 2019). In addition, ARs are associated with the melting of glaciers (Neff, 2018) and the weakening of ice shelf stability (Wille et al., 2022) due to the intense meridional transport of both sensible and latent heat within AR plumes (Shields et al., 2019; Liang and Yong, 2022). Hence, the projected increase in ARs by the current climate models, particularly over the populated regions in East Asia, implies more catastrophic hydrological extremes and irreversible changes to high-latitude ecosystems in future climate that are badly in need of mitigation and adaptation strategies. Despite the demands of enhancing early warning systems and climate adaptation planning to cope with the possible future changes in ARs, a better understanding of the effects of warming mitigation strategies including reduction of GHG emissions and geoengineering on AR climatology is required at present.

In the current context of technical capacity constraints and geopolitical factors causing increasing difficulties to achieve the target of the Paris 21st Conference of Parties, i.e. the global mean temperature targets of 1.5 and 2°C above pre-industrial (e.g. Millar et al., 2017; IPCC, 2018), dramatic increases in the frequency of very extreme precipitation have been observed in the recent period (Myhre et al., 2019). This calls for research into unconventional mitigation strategies (e.g. MacMartin et al., 2018) to mitigate risks of future extreme precipitation changes. One of the most prominent approaches is the solar
radiation management strategies via stratospheric aerosol intervention (SAI), i.e. injection of the precursor of scattering
aerosols (sulfur dioxide) into the stratosphere where the atmosphere is relatively stable and has a longer lifetime compared to
that in the troposphere so as to achieve cooling of the planet (Lawrence et al., 2018). Among the concerns regarding SAI
deployment are impacts on Antarctic ozone recovery (e.g., Tilmes et al., 2008; Heckendorn et al., 2009), the termination
effect (e.g. Jones et al., 2013), continued ocean acidification (e.g. Williamson and Turley, 2012), impacts on key modes of
climate variability such as the North Atlantic Oscillation and Quasi-Biennial Oscillation (Jones et al., 2022), and moral and
ethical issues surrounding any deployment (e.g. Lawrence et al., 2018). However, climate model simulations indicated that
continuous SAI can effectively maintain the global surface temperature under the 2020 conditions (Tilmes et al., 2021), and
lead to much ameliorated hydrological extremes than in unmitigated climate change scenarios (e.g. Jones et al., 2018). To
provide physical assessments of the impact of SAI, the Geoengineering Model Intercomparison Project (GeoMIP, Kravitz et
al., 2011; 2015; Xia et al., 2017) has performed a set of model experiments based on global climate models (GCMs) to
simulate climate scenarios with injections of sulfur into the stratosphere. In East Asia, the G4 experiments (i.e. future climate
simulations under the RCP4.5 scenario with constantly injecting sulfur dioxide into the low-level equatorial stratosphere at a
rate of 5 Tg per year, Kravitz et al., 2011) of GeoMIP have been used to assess the role of SAI in ameliorating the changes in
precipitation (Liu et al., 2021). However, the G4 experiments are based on an idealised one-point injection of sulfur dioxide
at the equator with an abrupt beginning and termination that are the only represented potential deployment of geoengineering
(Visioni et al., 2021).

As GeoMIP experiments progressed from G4 to G6, a new experiment (G6sulfur, Kravitz et al., 2015) considered the more
recently developed GHG emission scenarios from the Shared Socioeconomic Pathway (SSPs, O’Neill et al., 2016) and more
plausible SAI deployment, i.e. the injection of sulfur dioxide between 10°N and 10°S and between 18 and 20 km altitude.
Similar SAI simulations have been proposed by the Stratospheric Aerosol Geoengineering Large Ensemble (GLENS, Tilmes
et al., 2018) project. GeoMIP G6 also performed experiments of future climate simulations considering the reduction of the
total incoming solar irradiance (G6solar, Visioni et al., 2021) and examining differences between G6sulfur and G6solar
helps understand the role of aerosol-climate interaction, and in particular the impacts that aerosol-induced stratospheric
heating may have on atmospheric dynamics under SAI and the uncertainties in its simulation using GCMs. Among others,
GeoMIP experiments have investigated changes in precipitating weather systems including tropical cyclones (Jones et al.,
2017; Wang et al., 2018) and extratropical cyclones (Gertler et al., 2020). For ARs, the recent study based on the GLENS
experiments found that SAI may lead to decreases in extreme rainfall events from ARs affecting western North America and
increases in moderate rainfall events by the end of the century (Shields et al., 2022). However, to date, little research has
focused on the impact of SAI on the future changes in weather systems over East Asia and the difference between G6sulfur
and G6solar simulations which can provide more insights into underlying mechanisms of SAI.
This study aims to assess the possible impact of SAI geoengineering on the different characteristics of ARs, including their frequency, size and precipitation, in the populated regions of East Asia (e.g. southeastern China, Japan and the Korean Peninsula) by using an ensemble of the G6sulfur and G6solar simulations from a state-of-the-art GCM. We also investigate the effect of SAI on the large-scale circulations related to AR activity to understand the environmental mechanisms driving the changes in ARs in East Asia. This study helps to achieve a better understanding of the AR-aerosol interactions and inform the outcome of SAI in terms of the changes in mean and extreme precipitation from ARs. Following this section, Section 2 describes the climate data from the GCM and climate reanalysis datasets and the identification method for detecting AR features in the used data. Section 3 presents the results and Section 4 summarises and discusses the findings.

2 Experiments, data, and methods

2.1 The G6 experiments based on UKESM1

In this study, the G6sulfur and G6solar experiments in the sixth phase of GeoMIP are applied for analysing the AR characteristics under SAI. The G6sulfur experiment simulates future climate under the SSP5-8.5 scenario with stratospheric SO₂ injection aiming to reduce the global mean air temperature to the level under the SSP2-4.5 scenario (Kravitz et al., 2015; Jones et al., 2021; 2022). The SAI is continuous and applied between 10°N and 10°S along the Greenwich meridian at 18–20 km altitude. Such a tropical injection strategy has a minor impact on polar ozone (Tilmes et al., 2022), though it can overcool the injection sites relative to high latitudes and suppress global monsoon precipitation in contrast to the polar injection strategy (Sun et al., 2020). The injection rate is adjusted every 10 years to achieve a simulated decadal global-mean temperature to within ±0.2 K of that under the SSP2-4.5 medium forcing scenario. The G6solar experiment has the same goal but achieves it by the idealised gradual reduction of the solar constant (Kravitz et al., 2015; Visioni et al., 2021). Although such a solar dimming scenario is highly idealised compared to the SAI strategy prescribed by G6sulfur, it provides an important reference of a cooled future climate excluding the SAI-related aerosol-climate interaction; hence, its comparison with G6sulfur helps understand the effect of SAI.

The G6 experiment outputs are from the UK Earth System Model (UKESM1, Sellar et al., 2019), a contributing model to the current Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 6 (CMIP6, Eyring et al., 2016). The atmospheric component of UKESM1 is at a spatial resolution of 1.875°×1.25° and 85 hybrid levels extending up to 85-km. The model applies the ENDGame dynamical core, a semi-implicit semi-Lagrangian scheme to solve the non-hydrostatic, fully compressible Navier-Stokes equations (Wood et al., 2014; Walters et al., 2019; Mulcahy et al., 2018). The atmospheric component is coupled to the NEMO (Nucleus for European Modelling of the Ocean) vn3.6 ocean model with spatial resolution of ~1° and 75 levels (Storkey et al., 2018). The land component applies the Joint UK Land Environment Simulator (Best et al., 2011). The atmospheric chemistry model in UKESM1 applies the United Kingdom Chemistry and Aerosols modelling framework and considers a Stratospheric-Tropospheric scheme with aerosol chemistry and online photolysis (Morgenstern et al., 2009;
Mann et al., 2010; Archibald et al., 2020). The model also simulates the marine carbon cycle using a biogeochemical model described by Yool et al. (2013). For the experiments considered in this study, UKESM1 is run with three ensemble members (identifier index: r1i1p1f2, r4i1p1f2 and r8i1p1f2) corresponding to different realization setups. The ARDT is first applied to simulations by each ensemble member. Then, the future changes in AR characteristics are analysed by calculating the ensemble-mean differences between those identified in the future climate simulations (SSP5-8.5, SSP2-4.5, G6sulfur and G6solar) for the period 2071-2100 and those identified during the baseline period 1981-2010 using the UKESM1 historical simulations for CMIP6. An evaluation of the performance of UKESM1 in simulating the climatology of the detected ARs and associated environmental fields and precipitation is given in the Supplementary Information. Although some biases are noted, UKESM1 displays some consistency of the diagnosed AR properties with those identified in the historical climate reanalysis ERA5 (Hersbach et al., 2020) and observed precipitation (Hamada et al., 2011; Yatagai et al., 2014). For the ability to capture the modulation of ARs by the large-scale circulation, particularly the East Asian Jet Stream (EAJS), comparisons of the correlation between AR frequency and the jet intensity in terms of the seasonal mean EAJS index (EAJSI) are made between ERA5 and UKESM1 in the Supplementary Information. It is found that UKESM1 reasonably captures the negative correlations between AR frequency to the north of 35°N and the strength of westerly jet stream in terms of the East Asian Jet Stream Index (EAJSI, Lu et al., 2011) compared to the ERA5 reanalysis dataset (Figure S4a, b). Hence, the model can provide useful insight into the impact of SAI on ARs and its environmental drivers. Other information on the performance of UKESM1, e.g. biases in simulating the global distributions of temperature, precipitation and aerosol optical depth, can be found in Sellar et al. (2019). In addition, at present UKESM1 is the only model that provides outputs of 6-hourly pressure-level winds and specific humidity data that satisfy the requirement of the used ARDT; hence, the UKESM1 simulations are focused in this research and the multi-GCM ensembles conducted by the G6 experiments of GeoMIP (e.g. Jones et al., 2021; 2022; Visioni et al., 2021) are not used.

2.2 AR Identification

The analyses of AR characteristics in gridded climate data rely on a variety of Atmospheric River Detection Tools (ARDTs). According to the current ARTMIP project (Shields et al., 2018; Rutz et al., 2019; O'Brien et al., 2022), the diversity of ARDTs is a major cause of uncertainties in the diagnoses of AR metrics; hence, the use of ARDT should be carefully selected and tuned for the specific research objectives. This study is based on the ARDT named Atmospheric River Identification Algorithm for the Asian monsoon region (ARIA-Asia). A detailed description of the algorithm procedures and their schematic can be found in Liang et al. (2022). In general, this ARDT comprises three steps, i.e. feature isolation, geometric analysis and computation of diagnostic fields. As Liang and Yong (2022), the first step calculates 6-hourly fields of vertically Integrated Water Vapor Transport (IVT) and isolates the continuous regions that are likely AR plumes for each time-step using fixed or spatially varying IVT thresholds (the latter is used in this study). The second step is to identify the axes of the identified features using the skeletonization method for binary image (Wick et al., 2013) and filter out the non-
AR features (e.g. tropical cyclones and monsoonal flows) via the geometric criteria, i.e. the length of axis much be longer than 2000-km and the equivalent width (the area-length ratio) must be less than 750-km. The third step is to calculate the diagnostic fields that quantify AR characteristics, including fields of AR frequency and AR-associated precipitation. This algorithm applies a filter of tropical moisture filaments as Liang et al. (2022) and Liang and Yong (2022); however, in contrast to these studies using an absolute (fixed) IVT threshold for the isolation of AR plumes, here we apply a relative thresholding method. First, following the methodology of ARDTs used in East Asia (e.g. Pan and Lu, 2019; 2020; Park et al., 2021; Kim et al., 2021), the algorithm uses spatially varying thresholds of IVT in terms of the 85th percentile of IVT averaged over a 5-month moving time window (Park et al., 2021) during the targeted 30-year periods. Second, as per Pan and Lu (2019; 2020), the Gaussian filter with a bandwidth of 6° is applied to the IVT threshold fields. The lower limit of the thresholds (i.e. the lowest boundary value of the isolated AR plumes) is determined by the 80th percentile of IVT over the region from 40°E to 120°W, 20°S to 60°N. These help to discern AR plumes from the large-scale background with relatively weak IVT and avoid the influence of high-frequency noise in IVT fields so that more coherent features can be obtained. In addition, the relative thresholding methods scale the thresholds respectively for the historical and future climate simulations, which helps remove influences of the large-scale thermodynamic factors that are solely governed by the Clausius-Clapeyron relationship so that the dynamic changes in ARs due to different external climate forcings are focused.

3 Results

3.1 Changes in AR-associated environments

Before analysing the impacts of SAI on the identified AR characteristics, such as the AR frequency, plume geometries and AR-related precipitation, we first assess the changes in AR-associated large-scale environmental fields and discuss their potential influences on ARs. Figure 1 shows the ensemble mean of absolute changes in the low-level large-scale averaged over the main AR season (May to September, MJJAS) for the future period (2071-2100) relative to the historical baseline period (1981-2010). The mean 850-hPa geopotential height during the historical baseline period shows the domination of the Western Pacific Subtropical High (WPSH), an important monsoon system that determines the spatial distribution of ARs (Pan and Lu, 2020; Park et al., 2021). Simulations under the SSP5-8.5 scenario project significant increases in geopotential height to the south of 20°N (maximum change centred around 20°N, 85°E coloured in Figure 1a) accompanied with an enhancement of low-level convergence across 30-45°N. Such changes are associated with an increase in the meridional land-sea contrast in terms of the surface temperatures (Figure S5). These drive an intensification of the southwest monsoon across about 20°N and leads to stronger stationary moisture transport from the moisture source region over the Bay of Bengal, which imply a more favourable environment for the upstream AR activities. Similar patterns are noted under SSP2-4.5 (Figure 1b) while the magnitude of increases in the 850-hPa geopotential height is reduced by about one-third compared to SSP5-8.5. Both G6sulfur (Figure 1c) and G6solar (Figure 1d) show ameliorated changes with respect to SSP5-8.5. Although
the SSP2-4.5, G6sulfur and G6solar experiments present similar magnitudes of changes, there are noticeable differences that are investigated further.

Figure 2 shows comparisons of the simulated future low-level circulations between G6sulfur and other experiments. Compared with SSP5-8.5 (Figure 2a), the simulated SAI by G6sulfur leads to smaller increases in the geopotential height at 850-hPa over most of the study region, despite the North Pacific (near 40°N, 165°E) where an anticyclonic anomaly (in terms of the 850-hPa wind field) is observed and this favours the northeastward moisture transport of ARs across 40-55°N. Compared with SSP2-4.5, G6sulfur shows negative anomalies of the geopotential height over the continent (Figure 2b). Such a change is corresponding with a more pronounced land-sea thermal contrast with significant warming (p-value < 0.05) of the surface temperature to the north of 30°N and cooling to the south (Figure 3b). These changes drive an intensification of the southwesterly monsoon flow and the related cyclonic shear across the upstream of the main AR-active region (25-30°N, 105-120°E). In addition, the low-level anticyclonic response over the North Pacific is corresponding with a significant warming of the SSTs by up to 1.5-K. These changes may favour the AR-associated blockings at upper midlatitudes (Mullen 1989; Pohl et al., 2021). Similar patterns of circulation differences are presented when comparing G6sulfur with G6solar (Figure 2c and 3c). Therefore, although G6sulfur simulates ameliorated changes in the low-level circulation compared to SSP5-8.5, the low-level environments are more favourable for AR activity for G6sulfur compared to SSP2-4.5 and G6solar. Thus, although the simulated SAI strategy is successful in reducing many of the changes apparent under SSP5-8.5, some differences are evident between G6sulfur, G6solar, and SSP2-4.5 (which all have the same global mean temperature) which is due to the existence of the aerosol-climate feedback under SAI.

The meridional displacement of ARs is related to various high-tropospheric systems including the westerly jet streams and the associated upper-level high pressure (Payne and Magnusdottir 2015; Liang et al., 2022). Following the analyses of Kamae et al. (2017b) and Liang et al. (2022), Figure 3 assesses changes in the AR-related upper-level environments including the EAJS in terms of the 200-hPa wind field and the upper-level thermal expansion in terms of 200-500-hPa geopotential thickness. For the warming scenario under SSP5-8.5 (Figure 3a), significant increases (p-value < 0.05) in the thermal expansion are observed over the study region with a maximum increase of more than 230 gpm near northeastern China (130°E, 50°N) and to the south of the WPSH centre (170°E, 20°N). The increased thermal expansion to the north of 45°N leads to a decreased meridional thickness gradient and consequently drives a significant weakening (by up to 4 m s\(^{-1}\)) of the EAJS across 30-45°N. Similar changes in the thermal expansion (Kamae et al., 2014) and EAJS (Endo et al., 2018) related to the increase in the meridional land-sea thermal contrast have been found under the radiative forcing of the 4×CO₂ experiments while opposite changes have been observed under only the forcing of the SST warming. The projected weakening of the EAJS favours a northward shift of ARs according to the correlation analyses by Liang et al. (2022) and those discussed later (Figure S4, discussed in Section 3.2). Smaller magnitudes of changes (by about 90 gpm) in the geopotential thickness are shown over most of the region under SSP2-4.5 (Figure 3b) and this also results in less apparent
weakening of the EAJS. However, G6sulfur projects the most pronounced weakening of EAJS (by up to 8 m s\(^{-1}\)) due to the significant decrease in the thickness gradient between 30-45° N, which is associated with the reduced increases in the geopotential thickness to the south of 30° N. The further weakening of the EAJS is also related to the presence of the increased maximum to the north (near 130° E, 50° N) though the magnitude is smaller (by about 70 gpm) than that in SSP5-8.5. In contrast, G6solar (Figure 3d) projects similar patterns and magnitudes of the changes in the upper-level thermal expansion and winds compared to SSP2-4.5. To further present the effect of SAI on the AR-related upper-level environments, the absolute differences of 200-500-hPa geopotential thickness and 200-hPa winds between G6sulfur and other future climate simulations are displayed (Figure 4). The comparisons confirm that SAI can lead to further weakening of the EAJS in a warmer climate even though it effectively ameliorates the increase in the upper-level thermal expansion of the high-emission scenario (shown by the negative red contours in Figure 4a). Compared with SSP2-4.5 and G6solar, the injected sulfur dioxide simulated by G6sulfur leads to further decreases in the low-latitude thickness and positive anomalies to the north of 40° N (Figure 4b, c).

As the variation of AR frequency over East Asia is closely associated with the North Pacific storm track (Ryu et al., 2021), the absolute differences in the root-mean-square field of 2-6-day bandpass-filtered mean-sea-level pressure (MSLP) between G6sulfur and other future climate simulations are analysed as a proxy for eddy kinetic energy and storm tracks (Figure 6). Such an analysis is based on the Lanczcos bandpass filter following Harvey et al. (2020). For the main AR-active season (MJJAS), all the future climate simulations capture a notable reduction in the mid-latitude storm tracks (Figure S6) with the weakening of the EAJS in a warmer climate, a result consistent with the study of Harvey et al. (2020). Compared with SSP5-8.5, the injected sulfur dioxide simulated by G6sulfur shows no apparent amelioration of the decreases in storm tracks (Figure 6a), particularly to the south of Japan. It also presents further decreases across most of the North Pacific compared to SSP2-4.5 (Figure 6b) and G6solar (Figure 6c) and these changes can be explained by the weakened baroclinicity under the decreased meridional gradient of surface temperature across 20-50° N (Figure 3). Thus, the changes in storm tracks under the simulated SAI may further inhibit the transient AR features over the downstream region.

In summary, the UKESM1 simulations under the SSP5-8.5 high-emission scenario project a strengthening of the upstream monsoon flows and the downstream convergence that are favourable for AR activity in most of East Asia. In addition, a weakening of the EAJS driven by the increased upper-level thermal expansion at high latitudes is displayed. The experiments SSP2-4.5, G6sulfur and G6solar show ameliorated changes in the low-level circulation associated with ARs; however, compared to SSP2-4.5 and G6solar, the simulated deployment of SAI in G6sulfur exacerbates the weakening of the upper-level westerly jet, which are linked to the cooling effect of the injected scattering aerosols which is more concentrated across the lower latitudes. This is evident through the comparisons between G6sulfur and G6solar in terms of the global distribution of aerosol optical depth determined at 550 nm and the surface air temperature according to the study of Jones et
Moreover, the simulated SAI by G6sulfur shows limited effect on reducing the general decrease in storm track across the North Pacific, which creates a less favourable environments for the downstream AR activity.

### 3.2 Changes in AR features

Now we analyse the future changes in AR features, including AR frequency, size, wind speeds and moisture content, in a warmer climate and that affected by SAI. Under the SSP5-8.5 scenario (Figure 7a), the ensemble mean of UKESM1 projects a significant increase (p-value < 0.05) in AR frequency over most of regions from southern China to the Korean Peninsula. The greatest magnitude of increase (by above 0.3%) is seen in southern China. Significant decreases in frequency are shown over the western Pacific, which is possibly associated with the domination of the stable warm high-pressure within WPSH (Figure 1a) and the less active storm tracks (Figure S6a). The significant increase in AR frequency is consistent with the more favourable dynamical condition in the low troposphere as displayed in Figure 1a, including the intensification of the southwest monsoon flow and the midlatitude low-level convergence. Under SSP2-4.5, a similar pattern of frequency change to SSP5-8.5 is projected but with smaller magnitudes (Figure 7b). The experiments G6sulfur (Figure 7c) and G6solar (Figure 7d) show generally ameliorated changes compared to SSP5-8.5. However, generally any amelioration of AR frequency is the lowest under G6sulfur and G6sulfur shows opposite changes (increase by up to 0.15%) to the north of the main AR-active region, implying a pronounced northward shift of ARs under SAI. This change is linked to the further weakening of the EAJS (Figure 5c) given the negative correlation between AR frequency and EAJSI to the north of 35°N as presented by both the ERA5 reanalysis dataset (Figure S4a) and UKESM1 (Figure S4b); however, underestimation of such a correlation in UKESM1 is noted to the east of 135°E (Figure S4c) and this bias is partly related to the underestimated AR frequency over the downstream region (see Supplementary Information). Similar negative correlations between high-level jets and upper-midlatitude AR frequency have also been found by Zhang and Villarini (2018) and Liang et al. (2022). The mechanism behind the enhanced upper-midlatitude ARs by the weakening of EAJS remains elusive, though one of the possible causes is the anomalous low-level convergence to the northwest of the jet core and divergence to the northeast according to the four-quadrant strait jet model (Uccellini and Johnson, 1979). This consequently favours the AR-associated northeastward transport of warm moist air across the northern flank of the EAJS. It is also noted that the further decreases in storm track activity under the simulated SAI do not explain the increases in upper-midlatitude ARs over land as these systems are mainly controlled by the anticyclonic quasi-stationary circulation (Park et al., 2021).

Figure 8 further illustrates how SAI influences the distribution of AR frequency in terms of differences between G6sulfur and other experiments. Figure 8a shows that SAI ameliorates some changes to the south of 40°N under SSP5-8.5, while it induces significant increases (by up to 0.15%) in AR frequency over northeastern China. Compared to SSP2-4.5 and G6solar, G6sulfur demonstrates further frequency increases over most of northeastern China and northern Japan (Figure 8b,
c), which is partly linked to the more pronounced intensification of upstream monsoonal flow and low-level cyclonic shear (Figure 2b, c).

In summary, the SAI strategy simulated by the G6sulfur experiments can partly mitigate the changes in AR features in East Asia, particularly for the increase in AR frequency over southern China and decreases at low latitudes. It could also partly ameliorate the changes in the moisture content and low-level wind speeds along AR axes. However, SAI could potentially induce increases in AR activity across northeastern China, the Korean Peninsula and Japan as it further weakens the EAJS intensity that is negatively correlated to the upper-midlatitude AR activity. It is also limited to mitigating the changes in AR geometry under the high-emission scenario. The presented AR-SAI connections could possibly lead to changes in the mean and extreme precipitation of the region and this will be examined in the following section.

### 3.2 Changes in AR-associated precipitation

Previous sections have discussed the impact of SAI on ARs and the associated large-scale environments in East Asia. This section further examines the responses of AR-associated mean and extreme precipitation under the future changes in ARs. Here, precipitation at a given location is considered to be AR-associated if an AR axis is within 350 km. For the SSP5-8.5 scenario (2071-2100) relative to the historical baseline period (1981-2010), the ensemble mean of UKESM1 projects a significant increase (p-value < 0.05) in the annual mean accumulation of AR-associated precipitation across the Korean peninsula and most of southern and eastern China with magnitudes of up to above 320 mm per year (Figure 9a). Similar changes with smaller magnitudes are seen under the SSP2-4.5 scenario (Figure 9b). Resembling the changes in AR frequency, both G6sulfur and G6solar shows similar magnitudes of increases across 30°N compared to SSP2-4.5. For the changes in ARs’ fractional contribution to annual total precipitation, ARs tend to contribute more precipitation across central and eastern China (by up to 9%) as well as the Korean Peninsula (up to 5%) under SSP5-8.5 (Figure 9e). Decreases in the fraction are seen over northeastern China due to the pronounced increases in non-AR precipitation, which is not the focus of this paper. SSP2-4.5 (Figure 9f) and G6solar (Figure 9h) project less apparent increases in fraction compared to SSP5-8.5. For G6sulfur (Figure 9g), the simulated SAI strategy leads to fewer increases in fraction across 30°N. In contrast to SSP5-8.5 and SSP2-4.5, significant increases in fraction by up to 6% are seen in northeastern China and North Korea.

For the ensemble mean of the simulated heavy rain events associated with ARs (being the number of days accumulated when the daily precipitation amount is greater than 40 mm day$^{-1}$ at a given location and an AR axis is within 350 km), the patterns of changes shown in Figure 10a-d resemble that for precipitation accumulation. It is noted that G6sulfur (Figure 10c) shows significant increases in AR-associated extreme precipitation in Japan with a magnitude similar to that under SSP5-8.5 (Figure 10a). Also, G6sulfur generally projects a larger magnitude of increases in the fraction of heavy rain events over most
of northeastern China (Figure 10g) compared to other future climate simulations (Figure 10 e, f, h). These changes imply pronounced side effects of SAI on hydrological extremes from ARs in the above-mentioned populated regions.

We now compare the ensemble mean of the AR-associated precipitation patterns between G6sulfur and other experiments. As expected, G6sulfur effectively ameliorates the increase in AR precipitation across 30°N compared to SSP5-8.5 (Figure 11a); however, the simulated SAI strategy significantly exacerbates the increase in AR precipitation across 45°N (p-value < 0.05), which is linked to the increase in upper-midlatitude ARs as shown in Figure 8a. Figure 11b shows the comparison between G6sulfur and SSP2-4.5, which indicates a general increase in AR precipitation for most of the study region, particularly central-northern China (by up to 160 mm per year). Likewise, the comparison between G6sulfur and G6solar indicates a general increase in precipitation (by up to 100 mm per year) for the same regions. For the AR-related heavy rain events, although ameliorated increases are shown in central and eastern China when comparing G6sulfur with SSP5-8.5 (Figure 11d), the simulated SAI strategy exhibits amplified increases in the events in central-northern China and the Korean Peninsula with respect to SSP2-4.5 (Figure 11e) and G6solar (Figure 11f), implying a considerable side effect of SAI on extreme precipitation.

### 4 Summary and Discussion

In this study, the future changes in ARs and associated precipitation over East Asia by the end of the 21st century are examined using the historical (1981-2010) and future climate (2071-2100) simulations based on UKESM1 under different external climate forcings. The effect of SAI on ARs is assessed by comparing the experiment G6sulfur with the idealised solar dimming condition (G6solar) and high (SSP5-8.5) and medium (SSP2-4.5) levels of GHG emissions. The conclusions of the paper are summarised as follows:

1. Under both the SSP2-4.5 and SSP5-8.5 scenarios, a strengthening of the upstream monsoon flows and the downstream convergence are projected, which creates a more favourable environment for AR activity in most of East Asia. Consequently, an increase in AR frequency and AR-associated precipitation near 30°N relative to the historical baseline period is projected. In addition, a weakening of the EAJS driven by the increase in high-tropospheric thermal expansion at upper midlatitudes is projected. Given the negative correlation between the strength of EAJS and the local AR frequency at higher latitudes, northward shifts in AR activity under the weakening of EAJS leads to significant increases in AR-associated mean and extreme precipitation at upper midlatitudes, particularly northeastern China.

2. Compared to SSP5-8.5, the experiment G6sulfur simulates ameliorated changes in the low-level environments controlling the activity of ARs by the simulated SAI strategy. The comparison of the identified AR features among the different experiments shows that the simulated SAI strategy is effective in partly mitigating the projected future
increase in AR activity over the study region, particularly southern China, and the increases in the moisture content and low-level wind speeds along AR axes. This implies that both the thermal and thermodynamical responses of ARs can be reduced by the simulated SAI.

(3) The simulated SAI strategy in G6sulfur exacerbates the weakening of the EAJS due to the concentrated cooling effect of the injected scattering aerosols across the lower latitudes. It also leads to stronger land-sea thermal contrast that favours ARs with respect to SSP2–4.5 and G6solar. As a result, a side effect of SAI exacerbating the increases in the activity of upper-midlatitude ARs and associated mean and extreme precipitation is observed, particularly over northeastern China and the Korean Peninsula.

The presented future changes in AR activity over East Asia, particularly the increase in AR frequency over southern China, agree well with previous AR projection studies (Espinoza et al., 2018; Kamae et al., 2021). The presented increases in AR length and size under the warming scenarios have also been found globally (Espinoza et al., 2018; Zhao, 2020). These similarities imply additional confidence in the reported future AR projection in this study. However, any presented changes should be carefully interpreted considering the bias of UKESM1 in simulating the spatial distributions of AR frequency and AR precipitation as shown in the Supplementary Information. Particularly, the underestimation of the downstream AR frequency for UKESM1 compared to the ERA5 reanalysis dataset is possibly related to the use of relatively coarse horizontal resolution according to Liang and Yong (2022). Moreover, the presented future changes in ARs are based on the ensemble mean of three different realization setups of UKESM1, which is limited to exclude model uncertainties and impacts from the internal variability. These should be addressed by future study depending on the potential improvement of the data availability for GeoMIP GCMs. Nevertheless, over the Far East, opposite effects of the radiative forcing of the CO₂ concentration and the warming of the SSTs on the land-sea thermal contrast and the associated monsoon circulation have been observed (Kamae et al., 2014) and such opposite effects are associated with the limited confidence in the projected changes of the Asian monsoon systems (Voigt and Shaw, 2015); hence, investigations of these effects under SAI should be supplemented in future study to help assess the uncertainty in the simulation of SAI-AR connection across the study region.

Another limitation is the lack of analyses of the uncertainties associated with the choice of ARDTs due to the limited resources, although some configuration and the performance of the used ARDT have demonstrated some similarities compared to other ARDTs in the ARTMIP protocol (Liang et al., 2022). Also, as the chosen ARDT rescales the IVT thresholds for each month, it partly excludes the signal of AR seasonality thus the projected change in AR seasonality is not investigated. This should be addressed in future work by changing the current thresholding setup, such as the use of a fixed IVT threshold (Liang et al., 2022). Also, given the close linkage between rainfall extremes and tropical cyclones over the study region (e.g. Kim et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2020), future works should incorporate analyses of the detected ARs with the identified tropical cyclones in the model simulation to understand the dynamics behind the projected changes in extreme precipitation controlled by the changes in different weather systems.
The side effect of SAI manifested by the thermal response of the upper-tropospheric circulation and its control of the local AR activity implies that any deployment of SAI should be evaluated with caution given the existence of the links/teleconnections between the regional climate over the populated regions of East Asia and the large-scale circulation that is sensitive to the injected aerosol precursor. Another example of the side effect of SAI on precipitation, i.e. exacerbating precipitation deficit over the Mediterranean, is presented by Jones et al. (2022). Further studies are required to understand the potential hydrological impacts of SAI. This includes the use of hydrological modelling tools to simulate hydrological extremes associated with ARs at the watershed level (e.g. Dettinger et al., 2011; Chen et al., 2019) with climate inputs from the GeoMIP experiments. Furthermore, the presented side effect should be incorporated into dissemination of climate change information for decision makers involved with adaptation strategies in the populated regions. It also implies the necessity to optimise the potential deployment of SAI, including adjustments of the injection location and considering different candidates of the injected material that are more effective in increasing the outgoing radiation with less absorption (Jones et al., 2016). Overall, this paper calls for better awareness of the consequences brought by any practical deployment of SAI geoengineering from a perspective of high-impact weather systems and their association with extreme climate events.
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Figure 1: Absolute changes in MJJAS-mean geopotential height (shaded, unit: geopotential meter / gpm) and winds (vectors) at 850-hPa for the future period of 2071-2100 relative to the historical baseline during 1981-2010. Black contours show the MJJAS-mean 850-hPa geopotential height during the historical baseline period. Areas with a surface pressure below the 850 hPa level are shown in white.

Figure 2: Differences in MJJAS-mean geopotential height (shaded) and winds (vectors) at 850-hPa for the future period of 2071-2100 between G6sulfur and SSP5-8.5 (a), SSP2-4.5 (b) and G6solar (c).
Figure 3: As Figure 2 but for the MJJAS-mean surface temperature. Stippling indicates changes that are statistically insignificant (p-value > 0.05 based on the Student’s t-test).

Figure 4: Absolute changes in MJJAS-mean geopotential thickness between 200 and 500-hPa (red contours, unit: gpm) and 200-hPa wind speeds (shaded) for the future period of 2071-2100 relative to the historical baseline during 1981-2010. Black contours (unit: gpm) show the MJJAS-mean 200-500-hPa geopotential thickness and vectors show the MJJAS-mean 200-hPa wind fields during the historical baseline period.
Figure 5: Differences in MJJAS-mean geopotential thickness between 200 and 500-hPa (red contours) and 200-hPa winds (shaded for velocity and vectors for direction) for the future period of 2071-2100 between G6sulfur and SSP5-8.5 (a), SSP2-4.5 (b) and G6solar (c).

Figure 6: As Figure 5 but for the root-mean-square of 2-6-day bandpass-filtered MSLP (proxy for eddy kinetic energy and storm tracks, unit: hPa) during MJJAS. Stippling indicates changes that are statistically significant (p-value < 0.05 based on the Student’s t-test).
Figure 7: Absolute changes (shaded) in annual mean AR frequency (percent of 6-hourly time steps) for the future period of 2071-2100 relative to the historical baseline during 1981-2010. Black contours show the annual mean AR frequency during the historical baseline period. Stippling indicates changes that are statistically significant at a confidence level of > 95% (p-value < 0.05 based on the Student’s t-test).
Figure 8: Differences in annual mean AR frequency for the future period of 2071-2100 between G6sulfur and SSP5-8.5 (a), SSP2-4.5 (b) and G6solar (c). Stippling indicates differences that are statistically significant at a confidence level of > 95% (p-value < 0.05 based on the Student’s t-test).

Figure 9: Absolute changes in the annual accumulation of AR-related precipitation (a-d) and the fractional contribution of ARs to annual total precipitation amount (e-h) for the future period (2071-2100) relative to the historical baseline (1981-2010). Stippling indicates changes that are statistically significant at a confidence level of > 95% (p-value < 0.05 based on the Student’s t-test).
Figure 10: As Figure 9, but for the occurrence of AR-related events (a-d) of heavy rain (daily precipitation > 40 mm) and the contribution of ARs to the annual total heavy rain events (e-h).

Figure 11: Differences in annual accumulation of AR-related precipitation (a-c) and heavy rain events (d-f) for the future period of 2071-2100 between G6sulfur and SSP5-8.5 (a, d), SSP2-4.5 (b, e) and G6solar (c, f). Stippling indicates differences that are statistically significant at a confidence level > 95% (p-value < 0.05 based on the Student’s t-test).