



Impacts and uncertainties of climate-induced changes in watershed inputs on estuarine hypoxia

- 3
- 4 Authors: Kyle E. Hinson¹, Marjorie A.M. Friedrichs¹, Raymond G. Najjar²; Maria Herrmann²,
- 5 Zihao Bian³, Gopal Bhatt^{4,5}, Pierre St-Laurent¹, Hanqin Tian⁶, Gary Shenk^{7,5}
- 6
- 7 ¹Virginia Institute of Marine Science, William & Mary, Gloucester Point, VA 23062, USA
- 8 ²Department of Meteorology and Atmospheric Science, The Pennsylvania State University,
- 9 University Park, PA 16802, USA
- ³International Center for Climate and Global Change, Auburn University, Auburn, AL 36849,
- 11 USA
- ⁴Department of Civil & Environmental Engineering, The Pennsylvania State University, State
- 13 College, 16801, USA
- ⁵United States Environmental Protection Agency Chesapeake Bay Program Office, Annapolis,
 21401, USA
- ⁶Schiller Institute for Integrated Science and Society, Department of Earth and Environmental
- 17 Sciences, Boston College, Chestnut Hill, MA 02467, USA
- ⁷United States Geological Survey, Virginia/West Virginia Water Science Center, Richmond, VA
 23228, USA
- 20
- 21 Correspondence to: Kyle E. Hinson (kehinson@vims.edu; kyle.e.hinson@gmail.com)

22 Abstract

23

24 Multiple climate-driven stressors, including warming and increased nutrient delivery, are

25 exacerbating hypoxia in coastal marine environments. Within coastal watersheds, environmental

26 managers are particularly interested in climate impacts on terrestrial processes, which may

- 27 undermine the efficacy of management actions designed to reduce eutrophication and consequent
- 28 low-oxygen conditions in receiving coastal waters. However, substantial uncertainty
- 29 accompanies the application of Earth System Model (ESM) projections to a regional modeling
- 30 framework when quantifying future changes to estuarine hypoxia due to climate change. In this
- study, two downscaling methods are applied to multiple ESMs and used to force two
- 32 independent watershed models for Chesapeake Bay, a large coastal-plain estuary of the eastern
- 33 United States. The projected watershed changes are then used to force a coupled 3-D
- 34 hydrodynamic-biogeochemical estuarine model to project climate impacts on hypoxia, with
- 35 particular emphasis on projection uncertainties. Results indicate that all three factors (ESM,
- 36 downscaling method, and watershed model) are found to contribute significantly to the
- 37 uncertainty associated with future hypoxia, with the choice of ESM being the largest contributor.
- 38 Overall, in the absence of management actions, there is a high likelihood that climate change
- 39 impacts on the watershed will expand low-oxygen conditions by 2050, relative to a 1990s
- 40 baseline period; however, the projected increase in hypoxia is quite small (4%) because only
- 41 climate-induced changes in watershed inputs are considered and not those on the estuary itself.
- 42 Results also demonstrate that the attainment of established nutrient reduction targets will reduce
- 43 annual hypoxia by about 50% compared to the 1990s. Given these estimates, it is virtually





- 44 certain that fully implemented management actions reducing excess nutrient loadings will
- 45 outweigh hypoxia increases driven by climate-induced changes in terrestrial runoff.
- 46

47 Short Summary

- 48
- 49 Climate impacts are essential for environmental managers to consider when implementing
- 50 nutrient reduction plans designed to reduce hypoxia. This work highlights relative sources of
- 51 uncertainty in modeling regional climate impacts on the Chesapeake Bay watershed and
- 52 consequent declines in Bay oxygen levels. The results demonstrate that planned water quality
- 53 improvement goals are capable of reducing hypoxia levels by half, offsetting climate-driven
- 54 impacts to terrestrial runoff.





55 1 Introduction

56

57 Over the past several decades, estuarine and coastal ecosystems have been subject to elevated 58 levels of hypoxia relative to the open ocean (Gilbert et al., 2010), and are anticipated to be 59 affected by multiple climate change impacts including terrestrial runoff changes (Breitburg et al., 2018) and rising temperatures (Whitney, 2022). Increases in precipitation volume and intensity 60 are likely to increase discharge and associated nutrient and sediment export to coastal systems 61 62 (Howarth et al., 2006; Lee et al., 2016; Sinha et al., 2017). Rising atmospheric temperatures will increase soil temperatures and alter evapotranspiration, soil biogeochemical cycling and plant 63 responses (Schaefer and Alber, 2007; Wolkovich et al., 2012; Ator et al., 2022), also affecting 64 riverine nutrient export to marine habitats. Further changes to agricultural practices driven by 65 66 these same climate impacts are also likely to contribute to altered nutrient applications and 67 subsequent soil cycling (Wagena et al., 2018). Altogether, climate impacts in the terrestrial environment may further eutrophy coastal ecosystems (Najjar et al., 2010), altering the 68 phenology and biogeochemical rates of nutrient consumption and exacerbating hypoxia (Testa et 69 70 al., 2018). 71 Future estimates of coastal hypoxia have increased substantially over the past decade, likely 72 influenced by increased access to biogeochemical modeling tools and regional climate

73 projections needed for finer scale modeling and analyses (Fennel et al., 2019). The majority of coastal hypoxia climate impact studies have focused on a select few coastal locations including 74 75 the Baltic Sea (Meier et al., 2011a,b; Meier et al., 2012; Neumann et al., 2012; Ryabchenko et 76 al., 2016; Saraiva et al., 2019a,b; Wåhlström et al., 2020; Meier et al., 2021; Meier et al., 2022), Chesapeake Bay (Wang et al., 2017; Irby et al., 2018; Ni et al., 2019; Testa et al., 2021; Tian et 77 78 al., 2021; Cai et al., 2021), and the Gulf of Mexico (Justić et al., 1996; Justić et al., 2007; Lehrter 79 et al., 2017; Laurent et al., 2018). Other projected changes to dissolved oxygen (O_2) levels have 80 been documented in nearshore environments including the North Sea (Meire et al., 2013; Wakelin et al., 2020), Arabian Sea (Lachkar et al., 2019), California Current System (Dussin et 81 al., 2019; Siedlecki et al., 2021; Pozo Buil et al., 2021), and coastal waters surrounding China 82 (Hong et al., 2020; Yau et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2022). Hypoxia projections 83 in relatively smaller estuaries have also been documented in the Elbe (Hein et al., 2018), 84 85 Garonne (Lajaunie-Salla et al., 2018), and Long Island Sound (Whitney and Vlahos, 2021).

86 Broadly speaking, these climate impact studies apply either a range of idealized changes to 87 conduct a sensitivity study or utilize long-term projections derived from Earth System Models 88 (ESMs) (IPCC, 2013). When directly applying such projections to study regional coastal oxygen responses, dynamically or statistically downscaled estimates of atmospheric and marine variables 89 are typically used to continuously simulate climate impacts or to calculate and apply a change 90 91 factor (Carter et al., 1994; Anandhi et al., 2011) to a shorter historical time period. Quantifying 92 the relative uncertainties from various sources including ESM, downscaling methodology, 93 internal variability, and hydrological model is not new to the field of climate research (Hawkins and Sutton, 2009; Yip et al., 2011; Northrop and Chandler, 2014) or watershed applications 94 95 (Bosshard et al., 2013; Vetter et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2020; Ohn et al., 2021). Questions of 96 uncertainty due to climate effects in past marine ecosystem impact studies have often been 97 addressed by selecting some combination of ESMs and/or emissions scenarios (Meier et al., 98 2011a; Ni et al., 2019; Saraiva et al., 2019b; Meier et al., 2019; Meier et al., 2021; Pozo Buil et al., 2021). Additionally, some studies have also sought to account for the importance of managed 99 nutrient runoff from terrestrial (Irby et al., 2018; Saraiva et al., 2019a) and atmospheric (Yau et 100





al., 2020; Meier et al., 2021) sources and their impacts on oxygen levels. Despite some
comprehensive efforts to identify sources of uncertainty in coastal oxygen projections (Meier et al., 2019; 2021), few studies have evaluated uncertainties introduced by the choice of specific
downscaling method and/or terrestrial model. These factors represent additional sources of
variability when estimating future hypoxia and are inherent in regional simulations of coastal
dynamics.

107 The Chesapeake Bay, which is the largest estuary in the continental United States (Kemp et 108 al., 2005), has undergone intensive management efforts to improve water quality and oxygen 109 levels over the past three decades. These management efforts have focused on the reduction of 110 excess nitrogen, phosphorus, and sediment loadings to the Bay (USEPA, 2010), and continuous 111 adaptive monitoring efforts to evaluate progress in restoring water quality (Tango and Batiuk, 112 2016). Recent analyses of monitoring data have demonstrated improvements in water quality 113 throughout the Bay despite the trajectory of recovery being slowed by extreme weather events 114 (Zhang et al., 2018). Observed lags in water quality responses to nutrient reductions (Murphy et 115 al., 2022) are also evident in recent years (Zahran et al. 2022). Despite the difficulties in 116 assessing long-term improvements in water quality due to strong interannual variability, new 117 research has demonstrated that the Chesapeake Bay is more resilient to recent and ongoing 118 climate change impacts that have decreased oxygen levels as a result of decades of nutrient load 119 reductions (Frankel et al., 2022).

120 In recent years managers have recognized the importance of investigating whether the 121 originally established Total Maximum Daily Loads (USEPA, 2010) will need to be adjusted to 122 ensure the attainment of water quality standards for the Chesapeake Bay as the climate changes 123 (Chesapeake Bay Program, 2020; Hood et al., 2021). Increasing temperatures and precipitation 124 are anticipated to affect watershed snowpack, soil moisture levels, terrestrial nutrient cycling, 125 and associated discharge, streamflow generation, and flooding (Shenk et al., 2021b), potentially 126 altering the efficacy of nutrient reduction strategies. Increases in nutrient and carbon inputs to the 127 Bay resulting from climate change and anthropogenic stressors have already been documented 128 over the course of the past century (Pan et al., 2021; Yao et al., 2021), and are anticipated to increase in the 21st century as well (Wang et al., 2017; Irby et al., 2018; Ni et al., 2019). For 129 130 example, Irby et al. (2018) directly tested the role of future nutrient reductions via a sensitivity 131 analysis of mid-century climate effects, and found substantial alleviation of hypoxic conditions 132 when management targets were met, despite significantly increasing water temperatures. 133 However, that study applied spatially constant changes in watershed inputs derived from a 134 specific watershed model, one downscaling technique and a median estimate of ESM 135 projections. A more robust effort to produce a range of scenarios incorporating multiple 136 watershed models, downscaling techniques and ESMs is needed to assess uncertainty estimates 137 of projected hypoxia, which can be used to guide decision-making that explicitly considers what 138 levels of environmental risk are acceptable for Chesapeake Bay stakeholders.

139 The present study applies multiple downscaled ESMs to two independently developed 140 watershed models with significantly different representation of watershed processes and spatial 141 scale; both are used to force a coupled hydrodynamic-biogeochemical estuarine model in order 142 to better constrain the relative uncertainties of future terrestrial runoff estimates on estuarine 143 hypoxia (Shenk et al., 2021a). The resulting ensemble of numerical experiments includes 144 realistic climate forcings and an extensive set of regional linked watershed-estuarine 145 deterministic model simulations. The framework established in this research assesses the relative 146 uncertainties introduced by choice of ESM, downscaling methodology, and regionally focused





147watershed model in quantifying changes to O_2 levels in the estuary. Additionally, this148investigation constrains the bounds of changes to Chesapeake Bay hypoxia (defined herein as O_2 149< 2 mg L⁻¹) with and without the effects of management actions, using an ensemble of realistic150watershed forcings. The study provides a roadmap for environmental managers to design climate151impact assessments that are better able to quantify the range of possible future levels of hypoxia,152which can be influenced by nutrient management actions.

- 153
- 154 2 Methods
- 155

156 2.1 Monitoring data

157 Monthly estimates of freshwater discharge, inorganic nitrogen, and organic nitrogen at the 158 non-tidal monitoring stations nearest the head of tide of the three largest tributaries to the 159 Chesapeake Bay (Susquehanna, Potomac, and James; Fig. 1a; Table S1) were used to evaluate the performance of watershed models. Discharge and nitrogen load estimates are derived from 160 161 observations that are collected at United States Geological Survey (USGS) stream gages and 162 comprise part of the USGS River Input Monitoring (RIM) program in the Chesapeake Bay watershed. Estimates for the nitrogen species were calculated using a weighted statistical 163 164 regression process that accounts for the variability introduced by time, discharge, and season 165 (Hirsch et al., 2010).

166 Main stem bay observations collected over the period 1991-2000, accessible via a data 167 repository maintained by the Chesapeake Bay Program (CBP; Olson 2012; CBP DataHub 2020), 168 were used to assess estuarine model skill (see Sect. 2.3.1). Since 1984, numerous water quality data have been collected along the Bay's main stem and throughout its tributaries at semi-169 170 monthly to monthly intervals as part of the Water Quality Monitoring Program (WQMP). These 171 data were collected at the surface, above and below the pycnocline, and at the bottom for chemical variables including nitrate and organic nitrogen, and throughout the entire water 172 column at 1-2 m intervals for O₂. Twenty CBP stations were selected for model comparison at 173 the surface and bottom (Fig. 1b, Table S2), including those most frequently sampled and those 174 located along the entirety of the Bay's main channel where hypoxia commonly occurs (Officer et 175 176 al., 1984; Hagy et al., 2004). Estimates of annual hypoxic volume (AHV), defined as the volume 177 of hypoxic water integrated over the year (with units of volume*time), were taken from the 178 Bever et al. (2013; 2018; 2021) interpolation of O₂ measurements at 56 CBP stations.

179

180 **2.2** Estuarine and watershed modeling tools and evaluation

Model simulations are conducted with ChesROMS-ECB, a fully coupled, three-dimensional, 181 hydrodynamic and Estuarine Carbon Biogeochemistry (ECB) implementation of the Regional 182 183 Ocean Modeling System (ROMS) developed for the Chesapeake Bay with 20 terrain-following 184 vertical levels and an average horizontal resolution of approximately 1.8 kilometers in the 185 estuary's mainstem (Feng et al., 2015; St-Laurent et al., 2020; Frankel et al., 2022). Two 186 parameter changes were recently made to improve the representation of modeled oxygen: (1) a 187 decrease of the maximum growth rate of phytoplankton, which, following Irby et al. (2018), 188 preserves the temperature-dependent linear Q_{10} described in Lomas et al. (2002), and (2) a 189 decrease in the critical bottom shear stress from 0.010 Pa to 0.007 Pa, which increases the 190 resuspension of organic matter and is well within the range of observed shear stresses evaluated 191 by Peterson (1999).





192 Estimates of watershed discharge, nitrogen loading, and sediment loading to drive the estuarine model were obtained via two independently developed models of the Chesapeake Bay 193 194 watershed: the Dynamic Land Ecosystem Model (DLEM; Yang et al., 2015; Yao et al., 2021) 195 and the USEPA Chesapeake Bay Program's regulatory Phase 6 Watershed Model (Phase 6; 196 Chesapeake Bay Program, 2020). Both models were applied to generate comparable reference 197 runs over the average hydrology period of 1991-2000, chosen because it reflects the decade used 198 by the Chesapeake Bay Program to calculate Total Maximum Daily Loads (USEPA, 2010) and 199 assess water quality improvements. Outputs from both watershed models were aggregated into 10 major river input locations (Fig. 1). Watershed outputs were mapped to estuarine variables as 200 201 in Frankel et al. (2022), except that a more realistic partitioning of terrestrial organic nitrogen 202 loading into labile and refractory pools was implemented such that the percent refractory organic 203 nitrogen loading increases with discharge at high flow volumes (Appendix A).

Atmospheric conditions, including temperature and winds, were obtained from the ERA5 reanalysis dataset (C3S, 2017) as in Hinson et al. (2021). Coastal boundary conditions were interpolated to match the nearest physical and nutrient observations, as in previous work (Da et al., 2021). In order to isolate the impacts of climate-driven changes in watershed inputs, atmospheric and coastal boundary conditions were kept the same in all model simulations under realistic 1991-2000 conditions, for both reference runs (1991-2000) and all future scenarios (2046-2055).

211 Watershed and estuarine model skill was evaluated by comparing results from the two 212 reference scenarios to available data (see Sect. 2.1). Nash-Sutcliffe efficiencies (Nash and 213 Sutcliffe, 1970) were used to evaluate watershed model performance of freshwater discharge and 214 nutrient loadings. Estuarine model skill was evaluated by comparing model outputs matching the 215 spatio-temporal variability of observations at 20 main stem stations over the 10-year reference 216 period. Average bias (model output minus observed value) and root-mean squared difference (RMSD) of annual O₂, nitrate (NO₃), and dissolved organic nitrogen (DON) concentrations were 217 calculated at the surface and bottom. AHV estimates were calculated by summing the daily 218 volume of model cells containing low-oxygen waters ($O_2 < 2 \text{ mg } L^{-1}$), and are expressed in units 219 220 of km³ d following Bever et al. (2013; 2018; 2021). Daily net primary production estimates were 221 integrated over the entire water column and averaged across the Bay and month before being 222 compared to average Bay-wide estimates from Harding et al. (2002).

223

224 2.3 Projected changes in atmospheric temperature and precipitation

225 Mid-21st century projected changes in atmospheric temperature and precipitation under a 226 high emissions scenario (RCP 8.5) were obtained for multiple CMIP5 ESMs that were regionally 227 downscaled via two statistical methodologies: Multivariate Adaptive Corrected Analogs 228 (MACA; Abatzoglou and Brown, 2012; downloaded from MACAv2-METDATA) and Bias-229 Corrected Spatial Disaggregation (BCSD; Wood et al., 2004; downloaded from Reclamation, 230 2013). (Note that downscaled CMIP5 ESM output was used because downscaled CMIP6 ESM 231 output was not yet available when the research began.) Downscaled MACA and BCSD 232 projections have an average spatial resolution of approximately 0.042° and 0.125°, respectively. 233 A delta approach (Prudhomme et al., 2002; Anandhi et al., 2011) was used to estimate the 234 absolute change in atmospheric temperature and fractional change in precipitation over the 235 Chesapeake Bay watershed. In this delta approach (also commonly referred to as a perturbation 236 method or change-factor method), the difference in a given climate variable (i.e., air temperature 237 or precipitation) is calculated by first subtracting monthly downscaled ESM estimates averaged





238 over a hindcast period (in this case 1981-2010) from average monthly future projections (in this 239 case 2036-2065). The resulting mean annual cycle (with monthly resolution) in the delta (i.e., the absolute change in temperature or fractional change in precipitation) is then applied to reference 240 241 atmospheric forcing inputs (in this case for 1991-2000) to generate future watershed scenarios 242 (in this case for 2046-2055, hereafter referred to as mid-century) and limit uncertainty introduced by interannual variability. An additional step to modify precipitation intensity is also included in 243 244 all climate scenarios, following the methodology outlined in Shenk et al. (2021b). Thirty-year 245 averaging periods were used to limit potential biases introduced by multidecadal oscillations.

To reduce the computational load of applying the dozens of available ESMs to our combined 246 watershed-estuarine modeling framework for a full factorial experiment, the Katsavounidis-Kuo-247 Zhang (KKZ; Katsavounidis et al., 1994) algorithm was applied to select a subset of five ESMs 248 from both downscaled datasets. KKZ is an objective procedure for selecting a subset of members 249 250 that best span the spread of the full ensemble in a multivariate space. The selection process incrementally adds members to the ones previously selected, so that the entire ensemble is 251 252 ordered and a subset of any size can be selected. This method has proven effective at covering the largest range of outcomes using the fewest ESMs in watersheds across the United States in 253 previous research (Ross and Najjar, 2019). Because changes to hypoxia must be computed after a 254 255 subset of ESMs are selected, the downscaled results were classified in terms of changes to the 256 two variables most likely to influence hypoxia: air temperature from May–October (i.e., the 257 historic hypoxic season in Chesapeake Bay) and precipitation from November-June 258 (corresponding to the highest set of correlation coefficients when regressed against historical 259 AHV estimates: Supplementary Material: Fig. S1). The KKZ algorithm first selected an ESM nearest to the center of the cluster of models in the two-parameter space, which is referred to 260 261 hereafter as the Center ESM, before iteratively selecting additional ESMs that were furthest from 262 the center of the distribution and other previously selected ESMs (Fig. 2, Table S3). The next four selected ESMs are referred to as Hot/Wet, Cool/Wet, Hot/Dry, and Cool/Dry ESMs to 263 denote whether they are cooler, hotter, wetter, or drier, relative to the Center ESM. The specific 264 ESMs selected based on MACA and BCSD differ slightly; however, three of the five models are 265 the same (Cool/Dry, Hot/Dry, and Cool/Wet). This ESM selection process allows for a more 266 267 robust comparison of the distribution of ESMs from multiple downscaled datasets as opposed to 268 individual ESM comparisons that may privilege one downscaling method over others. However, 269 because inexact matches among ESMs can impact the quantification of relative uncertainty (Sect. 2.5), additional scenarios were simulated as needed for the Center and Hot/Wet ESMs, 270 271 which were different for the two downscaling techniques (Fig. 2, Table S3). Future change in temperature and precipitation between the two downscaling methods are shown for the Center 272 ESM (Fig. 3); changes for the other four ESMs are found in the Supplementary Material (Fig. 273 274 S2).

275

276 2.4 Experiments

Three numerical experiments (sets of simulations) were conducted to evaluate the impacts of climate scenario factors, management conditions, and the use of a subset of ESMs on future AHV projections and uncertainty (Table 1). To isolate climate impacts on AHV from the watershed alone, direct atmospheric and oceanic forcings to the Bay were held the same as in the reference simulations (see Sect. 2.3) for all experiments. The first experiment (Multi-Factor) evaluates the relative change in AHV (hereafter defined as Δ AHV) between the 1991-2000 and 2046-2055 time periods due to the following factors: ESM, downscaling method, and watershed





284 model (Table 1, Fig. 4). Atmospheric deltas from ten downscaled ESMs (five from MACA and five from BCSD) were applied directly to the two watershed models for a total of 20 simulations. 285 286 A separate Phase 6 climate-reference run is used to evaluate the impacts of climate alone by holding land use and nutrient applications constant. This differs slightly from the Phase 6 287 288 reference run that simulates realistic and interannually varying nutrient inputs and terrestrial conditions and is compared against observations (Sect. 2.2). Two additional simulations were 289 290 conducted with Phase 6 to account for the fact that the ESMs selected by the KKZ method were 291 not identical for MACA and BCSD (Table 1, Fig. 2).

The second experiment (Management) applied the same deltas used for Phase 6 MACA scenarios in the Multi-Factor experiment, but also included the effect of changing environmental management conditions, for a total of five additional simulations. These Management simulations assume that reduction targets for nutrient and sediment runoff are met in accordance with established management goals (USEPA, 2010). One additional scenario was conducted in which management goals were imposed, and climate change was not.

The third experiment (All ESMs) applied all 20 MACA downscaled ESM deltas to the
DLEM scenarios without any changes to management conditions, for a total of 20 additional
simulations. Comparing the results of the first (Multi-Factor) and third (All ESMs) experiments
highlights the strengths and limitations of using a subset of ESMs.

302

303 2.5 Climate scenario analyses

To analyze climate impacts on Chesapeake Bay hypoxia, changes in O2 and AHV were 304 305 compared between the reference runs and the future simulations. Relative O₂ impacts introduced by the three climate scenario factors (ESM, downscaling method, and watershed model) were 306 307 determined by applying an analysis of variance (ANOVA) approach to average ΔAHV estimates 308 for each climate scenario. This method has been previously applied to the quantification of uncertainty sources in climate and hydrological applications (Hawkins and Sutton, 2009; Yip et 309 al., 2011; Bosshard et al., 2013; Ohn et al., 2021). To use this method in this study, an average 310 annual metric is first calculated for an outcome of interest (i.e., change in discharge, nitrogen 311 loading, or hypoxic volume) within the Multi-Factor experiment. Then, the relative uncertainty is 312 determined by calculating the sum of squares due to individual effects for each experimental 313 314 factor (ESM, downscaling method, or watershed model). Following Ohn et al. (2021), the 315 cumulative uncertainty is quantified for successive uncertainties introduced by each factor as 316 well as their interactions, removing the unexplained interaction term described in Bosshard et al. (2013). The two additional ESM scenarios described previously (Table 1, Table S3) were used 317 due to the inexact matches between MACA and BCSD ESMs selected by KKZ. Despite five 318 ESMs being used in combination with only two downscaling methods and two watershed models 319 320 in this analysis, the approach outlined (Bosshard et al., 2013; Ohn et al., 2021) accounts for this 321 factor imbalance (five vs. two) by repeatedly subsampling combinations of two ESM scenarios from the five available. 322

Relative frequency histograms and cumulative distributions were used to quantify the overall likelihoods of increasing/decreasing Δ AHV across the entire range of future scenarios. Average changes in the spatial distribution of O₂ over the typical hypoxia season (May–September) were compared among all climate scenarios with no changes to management conditions. Results were considered significant if at least 80% of model scenarios tested agree on the direction of O₂ change in the estuary, as in Tebaldi et al. (2011).

329





- 330 **3 Results**
- 331

332 3.1 Model Skill

- 333 334 **3.1.1 Watershed Models**
- 335

336 Modeled discharge, nitrate loading, and organic nitrogen loading from the three largest Bay 337 tributaries are comparable to observed monthly estimates derived from weighted statistical regressions (see Sect. 2.1). At the most downstream USGS stations on the Susquehanna, 338 Potomac, and James Rivers, both Phase 6 and DLEM discharge estimates have higher skill 339 (Nash-Sutcliffe Efficiencies closer to 1.0) relative to nitrate and organic nitrogen loading 340 estimates (Table 2, Fig. S3). Although the overall skill of Phase 6 and DLEM is similar, Phase 6 341 342 generally exhibits higher model skill than DLEM in estimating monthly nitrate loading, while

DLEM demonstrates greater skill in simulating organic nitrogen loading. 343

344

3.1.2 Estuarine Model 345

346

347 The two reference simulations, forced with loadings from DLEM and Phase 6, demonstrate 348 substantial skill in representing key main stem estuarine biogeochemical variables, including O₂, NO₃, DON, primary production, and AHV (Table 3) throughout the Bay's mainstem. Overall, all 349 350 modeled variables at the surface and bottom forced by both DLEM and Phase 6 lie within 1 351 standard deviation of observations. Modeled O₂ is slightly greater than spatio-temporally paired observations at the bottom, and slightly lower than observations at the surface throughout the 352 353 entire year (Table 3) and in the summer period of hypoxia (Fig. 5a-b), leading to a bias that is 354 relatively small compared to the standard deviations of observed O₂ concentrations across the entire year (Table 3). Additionally, modeled O₂ performs similarly to or better than the results 355 included in the multi-model comparison presented in Irby et al. (2016). Modeled average annual 356 NO₃ and DON are also within the range of observations at both the surface and bottom (Table 3). 357 358 Whole Bay net primary production agrees well with observed estimates (Harding et al., 2002) reported over a similar time period (Table 3). Finally, modeled AHV compares favorably to data-359 360 derived interpolated estimates (Table 3; Fig. 5c), with increased hypoxia in wet years compared 361 to dry years. Average AHV estimates using Phase 6 and DLEM inputs are, respectively, 16% and 26% greater than interpolated observations (Table 3; Fig. 5c) and approximately half the 362 model estimates lie within the estimated uncertainties (RMS % error) of the interpolation 363 methodology ($\pm 13\%$; Bever et al., 2018). Model estimates of AHV are generally slightly greater 364 when ChesROMS-ECB is forced by DLEM watershed outputs as opposed to those from Phase 6 365 366 (Table 3; Fig. 5c).

- 367
- 368

369

3.2 Future (mid-21st century) projections of watershed discharge and nutrient loading

370 Increasing temperatures and changing precipitation throughout the Bay watershed produce different discharge responses for the two watershed models. On average, Phase 6 climate 371 372 scenarios increase watershed runoff relative to the reference run by 4-6% while DLEM climate 373 scenarios decrease average flow by 1-4% (Table 4). The annual flow changes range from -12 to +15% among ESM scenarios, with wetter ESMs tending to increase annual watershed discharge 374

375 while drier ESM scenarios generally decrease average watershed runoff, with a lesser impact due





to atmospheric warming (Table 4; Fig. 6a). For both watershed models and downscaling
methods, the Cool/Wet ESM produces the greatest increase in annual discharge. Overall, the

greatest variability in changes to discharge estimates is due to ESM, as MACA and BCSD
 scenarios increase or decrease annual discharge by comparable amounts (Table 4; Fig 6a).

380 Chesapeake Bay Phase 6 watershed model climate scenarios increase average annual total 381 nitrogen (TN) by +30% and +45% for MACA and BCSD respectively, but do not substantially change DLEM TN loads (+1% and -2% for MACA and BCSD, respectively; Fig. 7). Greater 382 383 Phase 6 TN loadings are primarily due to extreme values in the Cool/Wet climate scenarios and are driven by increases in refractory DON (Fig. 7a). While DLEM scenarios show increases in 384 385 the percentage of inorganic nitrogen and labile organic forms of total nitrogen loads, the 386 contribution of particulate organic nitrogen (PON) decreases, resulting in little to no increases in 387 overall TN loading (Fig. 7a). Phase 6 produces wetter climate scenarios increasing TN loading 388 more than drier scenarios (Table 4; Fig 6b), with this effect being most pronounced for the 389 Cool/Wet ESM. Phase 6 also produces the greatest percent changes in the southern rivers (James, 390 York, Rappahannock), while DLEM produces similar percent changes in all rivers (Fig. 7b). 391 Some Phase 6 climate scenarios substantially increase the average loading change in smaller watersheds like the Rappahannock and York, which increase TN between 77-172% and 32-392 393 430%, respectively, and are comparable to the absolute change in Susquehanna TN loading (Fig. 394 7b). In contrast with the Multi-Factor experiment results, climate scenarios that include 395 management actions substantially reduce TN loading (-28%; Fig. 7, Table 4). Like other Phase 6 396 climate scenarios that don't account for management actions, the proportion of refractory organic 397 nitrogen increases for the climate scenarios with management (+49%), but in these cases the 398 average labile inorganic and organic nitrogen loadings also substantially decrease (-40%).

399

400 3.3 Effects of future watershed change on estuarine O₂

401

402 Climate change impacts on watershed discharge and nitrogen loading substantially affect 403 estuarine hypoxia, even when, as in this study, direct climate effects on the Bay are not 404 considered. On average, the Multi-Factor climate scenarios decrease average summer bottom O₂ 405 in the Bay's mainstem while also slightly increasing O_2 at the surface in some mid-Bay areas 406 (Fig. 8). In the northern part of the mainstem near the Susquehanna River outfall, model results 407 show consistent decreases in both bottom and surface summer O₂ (Fig. 8e,f). Further down the 408 main stem in the mid-Bay, surface O_2 increases in wet years, and experiences almost no change 409 in dry years (Fig. 8b,c). In the same region, bottom O₂ declines lessen during wet years and 410 worsen during dry years (Fig. 8e,f). Increasing O_2 levels are found in the shallow portions of the major tidal tributaries (i.e., Potomac and James), but are more pronounced in wet years than dry 411 412 years (Fig. 8b-c,e-f). Altogether, average summer surface O₂ increases by 0.02 ± 0.03 mg L⁻¹ 413 (average change and standard deviation) while bottom O_2 decreases by 0.03 ± 0.06 mg L⁻¹.

414 There are some clear distinctions in the overall changes to future AHV when evaluating all 415 Multi-Factor experiments. Climate effects on the watershed in DLEM increase AHV more so 416 than in Phase 6 (5.6% vs 3.1%, respectively), but the overall standard deviation of DLEM Δ AHV 417 results are greater than those for Phase 6 (Table 5). Similarly, using MACA vs. BCSD results in 418 greater changes in \triangle AHV (4.8% vs. 3.9%), albeit this difference due to the choice of 419 downscaling method is less than that due to the choice of watershed model. Depending on the choice of ESM, Δ AHV ranges between +0.9% (for the Cool/Dry ESM) to +8.3 % (for the 420 Cool/Wet ESM) with the Center ESM producing intermediate results (+4.4 %). When comparing 421





422 the impact of a particular ESM, wetter models tend to produce greater ΔAHV than drier scenarios (Fig. 6c), although interannual variability is still large. When climate scenarios are 423 424 downscaled using different methodologies (either MACA or BCSD), average $\Delta AHVs$ have some notable differences, e.g., applying the Cool/Dry model to Phase 6 produces opposite average 425 426 changes to hypoxia depending on downscaling method (Fig. 6c). Considering all possible 427 combinations of scenarios, ESM average annual projected AHV spans a range of 921-939 km³ d 428 for Phase 6 and 1019-1049 km³ d for DLEM, and four out of five of the climate scenarios in the 429 Multi-Factor experiment projecting increases in average AHV (Table 4).

When the full distribution of Multi-Factor scenarios is evaluated, the average and standard 430 431 deviation of these annual Δ AHV results are estimated to be 37 ± 64 km³ d (4.4 ± 7.4%; Fig 9). 432 Wetter ESMs (blues in Fig. 9a) are more likely to increase hypoxia compared to drier ESMs, 433 despite differences in downscaling method or watershed model. The likelihoods of the Cool/Dry 434 or Hot/Dry ESM increasing hypoxia are only 58% or 50%, respectively, but these chances are greater than 80% for the Center, Hot/Wet, and Cool/Wet ESMs (Fig. 9a). Altogether, the Multi-435 Factor experiment results in 72% of the runs increasing AHV when considering climate change 436 437 impacts on terrestrial runoff (Fig. 9b). (Note, however, that this cannot technically be considered to be a statistical probability as the KKZ selection process used to generate our sample of climate 438 439 scenarios is neither random nor independent.)

The All-ESMs experiment produces similar results to those obtained when only a subset of five ESMs are used. Specifically, Δ AHV increases by $6.3 \pm 3.5\%$ using only five KKZ-selected ESMs and by $9.6 \pm 1.7\%$ when using all 20 ESMs (Fig. 10a,b; Model IDs further defined in Table S3). The use of five KKZ-selected ESMs covers approximately 69% of the total range of all 20 ESMs (Fig. 10c). Despite more than 15,000 options to choose from when selecting five out of 20 ESMs, the subset selected in this work demonstrates an improved ability to outperform a random selection of five ESMs (Fig. 10c) and generates a useful range of hypoxia projections.

447 The results of the Management experiment demonstrate the substantial impact of future 448 nutrient reductions on hypoxia, decreasing average AHV by $50 \pm 7\%$ relative to the 1990s $(\Delta AHV = -438 \pm 47 \text{ km}^3 \text{ d}; \text{ Table 4}; \text{ Fig. 11})$. Because there is a linear relationship between 449 450 ΔAHV computed with Phase 6 MACA scenarios including management actions (ΔAHV_{mgmt}) and those without ($\Delta AHV = 0.56 * \Delta AHV_{mgmt} - 262$; R²=0.59, Fig. S5), ΔAHV_{mgmt} can be estimated 451 for any scenario by applying this linear model to the non-management scenario distribution. The 452 result is a decrease of approximately $417 \pm 67 \text{ km}^3$ d among all scenarios, within the range of the 453 454 management scenario subset obtained here by applying only MACA downscaled ESMs to Phase 455 6. As expected, hypoxia increases in the Management experiment when climate impacts are also included relative to the reference management scenario, specifically by $17.1 \pm 34.8 \text{ km}^3 \text{ d or } 3.8$ 456 457 \pm 7.8% (Table 4; Fig 6c).

458

459 3.4 Contributions to Climate Scenario Uncertainty

460

Applying an ANOVA approach (Ohn et al., 2021) to watershed discharge, nutrient loadings,
and ΔAHV within the Multi-Factor experiment reveals that the relative uncertainties introduced
by the choice of ESM, downscaling method, and watershed model vary substantially (Fig. 12).
The choice of ESM is the dominant factor affecting changes to watershed discharge and nutrient
loadings (Fig. 12a-c), and comprises 59-74% of the total uncertainty. The choice of watershed
watershed changes, while the downscaling method only contributes 3-14%. Uncertainty in





projected organic nitrogen loadings is particularly affected by the choice of watershed model,
overwhelming the variability introduced by downscaling method, and strongly affecting
estimates of total nitrogen change. Unlike changes to watershed flow and loadings, the
uncertainty of projected changes to hypoxia is much more evenly distributed among the three
scenario factors: 40%, 25%, and 35%, for ESM, downscaling method, and watershed model
respectively (Fig. 12d).

474

475 4 Discussion

476 477 **4**.

478

4.1 Watershed Climate Scenario Impacts on Riverine Export and Hypoxia

479 The climate scenario projections evaluated in this study are in near complete agreement that 480 the Chesapeake Bay watershed will be warmer and experience greater levels of precipitation by mid-century, yet these results are not as straightforward to interpret as they relate to changes in 481 482 discharge, nutrient loads, and estuarine hypoxia. Climate impacts on extreme river flows are currently evident at global scales (Gudmundsson et al., 2021), and projected increases in 483 precipitation that could shape such events are aligned with estimates for this region derived from 484 485 observational (Yang et al., 2021) and modeling (Huang et al., 2021) studies, as well as for other 486 regions at similar latitudes (Bevacqua et al., 2021; Madakumbura et al., 2021). However, 487 differences exist in the spatial distribution and timing of these precipitation increases, as well as 488 in the temperature-affected rates of evapotranspiration. As a result, these estimates produce 489 varied projections for future freshwater discharge. These complex interactions make it difficult 490 to directly predict future discharge from projected precipitation changes, and even more difficult 491 to relate these to changes in nutrient loading. For example, in this study half of the climate 492 scenarios produce increasing discharge on an annual basis, yet more than 75% of these scenarios 493 increase total nitrogen loading. Differences in the representation of soil and riverine nitrogen 494 processes between watershed models also results in inconsistent simulated responses of nitrogen 495 export to similar precipitation rates. Disparate export of nitrogen species (i.e., nitrate and organic 496 nitrogen) between watershed models also directly affects future nutrient load projections. These 497 hydrological model differences are evidenced by DLEM's higher NO3 outputs that offset lower 498 organic nitrogen loadings (Fig. 7a), and are discussed further in depth in Sect. 4.2.

499 Our analysis quantifies changes in hypoxia due to mid-century climate change impacts on 500 watershed hydrologic and water quality responses, and provides an estimate of the relative 501 uncertainty in estuarine hypoxia response due to three distinct factors (Fig. 12): Earth System 502 Model, downscaling method, and watershed model. Our experimental findings suggest that, in 503 the absence of management actions, mid-century climate impacts on the Chesapeake Bay 504 watershed will increase hypoxia, specifically annual hypoxic volume (AHV), by an average of 4 505 \pm 7%, but changes to Bay O₂ levels vary spatially. Average bottom main stem O₂ levels from 506 May–September are expected to decrease most in the southern half of the Bay (south of 38.5°N), 507 particularly in climatologically dry years (Fig. 8). Again, it is important to remember that these 508 spatially varying changes only account for the effects of climate change on watershed response 509 in isolation, and do not include the additional direct impacts of the atmosphere and ocean. While 510 previous findings by Irby et al. (2018) suggest that increasing atmospheric temperatures are 511 likely to uniformly decrease O₂ levels throughout the Bay's main stem, increasing temperatures 512 at the ocean boundary during warmer months when hypoxia is most prevalent (Hinson et al.,





513 2021) will likely increase hypoxia more in the southern portion of the Bay. In addition, sea level rise has also been found to preferentially increase hypoxia south of 38.5°N (Cai et al., 2021). 514 515 Our findings are focused on Chesapeake Bay hypoxia, but some lessons can also be drawn from other coastal ecosystems where changes in watershed discharge and nutrient loadings are 516 517 also projected. In the Baltic Sea, Meier et al. (2011b) reported that hypoxia was very likely to 518 increase regardless of ESM or climate scenario, assuming targeted reductions in accordance with 519 the Baltic Sea Action Plan (decrease of nitrogen loads by $23 \pm 5\%$) were not met. Extensive 520 studies of projected oxygen change in the Baltic Sea have repeatedly demonstrated that climate impacts are likely to increase hypoxic area (BACC II, 2015 and references therein), but more 521 522 recent reports (Saraiva et al., 2019a; Wåhlström et al., 2020; Meier et al., 2021, 2022) have reaffirmed that nutrient reductions in accordance with the Baltic Sea Plan are also highly likely 523 524 to mitigate a substantial amount of those hypoxia increases. Repeated investigations into the 525 impact of increased discharge and higher temperatures in the Gulf of Mexico demonstrate a likely expansion of hypoxic area (Justić et al. 1996; Lehrter et al., 2017; Laurent et al., 2018), 526 527 and additional nutrient reductions required to mitigate these impacts (Justić et al., 2003). Finally, Whitney and Vlahos (2021) demonstrated a considerable erosion in oxygen gains due to nutrient 528 529 reductions in the presence of climate effects, reducing projected mid-century improvements by 530 14%, similar to the 9% increase in hypoxic volume reported by Irby et al. (2018) for O_2 levels < 531 $2 \text{ mg } L^{-1}$. Although these studies include direct climate change impacts on coastal water bodies, 532 most support the findings here demonstrating that increases in discharge and associated nutrient loadings are likely to increase Chesapeake Bay hypoxia. Overall, climate impacts on land have 533 534 the potential to profoundly modify biogeochemical interactions in the coastal zone and limit the 535 efficacy of nutrient reductions.

536

537 4.2 Uncertainty in Climate Scenario Projections

538

539 Projected changes in watershed discharge and nutrient delivery to the Chesapeake Bay 540 produce modest increases in estuarine hypoxia, with medium confidence (Mastrandrea et al., 2010). AHV has a high degree of interannual variability, and future hypoxia estimates can be 541 modified substantially by the choice of ESM, downscaling method, and watershed model (Fig. 542 543 6c). While certain factors (particularly ESM and greenhouse gas emissions scenarios; Meier et 544 al., 2021) have previously been extensively evaluated in coastal systems with regards to hypoxia, 545 the results presented here also demonstrate the importance of terrestrial forcings on estuarine 546 oxygen levels.

In this study, future changes in watershed discharge, nitrogen loadings, and estuarine hypoxia 547 are found to be highly dependent on the selection of a specific ESM (Fig. 12), comprising a 548 549 majority of the total uncertainty in watershed outcomes and the greatest fraction of total 550 uncertainty for O₂ levels. When only the effect of ESM choice is considered (and downscaling and hydrological model options are not; Fig. 10), the average projected change in AHV using 551 552 only three ESMs (often chosen to represent cool, median, and hot scenarios) has a greater 553 standard error than the selection of five in this study. Directly comparing results from the 554 experiment that compared five ESMs, two downscaling methods, and two watershed models 555 (Multi-Factor) versus that which only considered the impact of multiple ESMs (All ESMs) 556 shows a substantial overlap in the range of projected ΔAHV . In addition, multiple ESMs downscaled with a single methodology and applied to one hydrological model produced 557 meaningfully different estimates of $\triangle AHV$ than a more balanced approach (Fig. 11). 558





559 Inter-model variability among ESMs appears to contribute most substantially to differences in Bay watershed inputs, but the choice of downscaling methodology can also affect these 560 561 projections. The BCSD (Wood et al., 2004) and MACA (Abatzoglou and Brown, 2012) downscaling methodologies used here employ different approaches to reduce historical ESM 562 563 biases, impacting the variability of spatio-temporal watershed hydrologic and water quality 564 responses. The ability to statistically downscale ESMs accurately depends on the spatially coarser ESM's ability to simulate synoptic-scale (~1000 km) patterns and may still 565 566 underestimate the distributional tails of changes to temperature and precipitation. This increases the importance of properly selecting a subset of ESMs (Abatzoglou and Brown, 2012). 567 568 Watershed model variability is caused by differences in the representation of processes that 569 affect discharge, those controlling the fate and transport of nutrients from land and in rivers, and 570

lag times of groundwater transport. The two watershed models used here project substantially 571 different results in watershed discharge and nitrogen delivery, even when the same changes to meteorological forcings are applied (Fig. 6). DLEM projects no change or decreases in discharge 572 573 for nearly all scenarios, as opposed to greater average increases in discharge for Phase 6 scenarios (Fig. 6a), likely driven by differences in the representation of evapotranspiration. 574 575 Explicit soil biogeochemical processes within DLEM increase nitrification rates in warmer 576 climate scenarios, producing higher nitrate loadings than Phase 6 despite comparable discharge 577 changes (Fig. 6b). The greater total nitrogen loadings produced by Phase 6 are largely a 578 consequence of its parameterizations for erosion and refractory nitrogen bound to sediment. 579 Increases in bioavailable nitrate loadings, unlike refractory organic nitrogen that comprises the 580 majority of DON loadings, produce greater levels of primary production and remineralization 581 within the estuary. This largely explains the discrepancy between watershed model hypoxia 582 estimates (Table 5).

583 Our findings demonstrate the importance of considering differences among these three 584 factors (ESM, downscaling, and watershed model) that may contribute to a wider range of target 585 water quality variables and living resource responses in coastal marine ecosystems like the Chesapeake Bay that are highly influenced by watershed processes. Hydrological model 586 587 assumptions can have potentially significant impacts on estuarine hypoxia. For example, the relatively high organic nitrogen loadings in Phase 6 compared to DLEM's comparatively modest 588 589 exports under the same future scenarios result in different levels of annual hypoxia. While 590 dramatic increases in organic nitrogen loadings within Bay tributaries are mostly limited to 591 Cool/Wet Phase 6 scenarios, there is precedent for catastrophic erosion within the Bay watershed 592 driven by extreme precipitation events (Springer et al., 2001). The relative uncertainty 593 introduced by individual factors is also not necessarily equivalent for discharge, nitrogen 594 loadings, and AHV (Fig. 12). The complex connections between terrestrial runoff and 595 biogeochemical changes in the marine environment may expand further when higher order 596 trophic-level species are considered, and even more so when direct atmospheric impacts on the 597 Bay are also included. It is unlikely that general conclusions regarding the relative impacts of 598 different factors can be drawn for a marine ecosystem when only uncertainties in watershed 599 discharge and nutrient loadings are considered. Had our results only accounted for the impacts of 600 these factors on watershed changes and not estuarine oxygen levels, the role of downscaling could be incorrectly assumed to contribute negligible variability to hypoxic volume (Fig. 12). It 601 602 is the complex interactions of nitrogen species transformations within this estuarine model that 603 are responsible for this somewhat unexpected large contribution of downscaling method 604 uncertainty that is less prominent in watershed changes.





605 Despite the relatively small magnitude of Chesapeake Bay watershed climate impacts on 606 estuarine hypoxia compared to previous evaluations of other climate impacts, like atmospheric 607 warming over the Bay (Irby et al., 2018; Ni et al., 2019; Tian et al., 2021), the relative 608 contributions of ESM and downscaling effects to the total uncertainty are large and are also 609 likely to expand the range of outcomes for other climate sensitivity studies in this region. This 610 suggests that, when attempting to determine a likely range of ecosystem outcomes, selecting additional downscaling techniques and hydrological model responses should be considered in 611 612 addition to the more common practice of only selecting multiple ESMs.

613

614 4.3 Hypoxia Lessened by Impacts of Management Actions

615

616 Projections of changes to watershed discharge and nutrient delivery can better inform 617 regional environmental managers tasked with managing interactions among nutrient reduction strategies, climate change, and coastal hypoxia (Hood et al., 2021; BACC II, 2015; Fennel and 618 619 Laurent, 2018). The Chesapeake Bay results provided in this analysis demonstrate that the management actions mandated to improve water quality (USEPA, 2010) will decrease hypoxia 620 by roughly 50%, approximately an order of magnitude more than projected increases due only to 621 622 watershed climate change (Fig. 11). Therefore, nutrient reduction strategies are very likely to 623 remain effective at reducing watershed nutrient loading and its contribution to eutrophication and 624 hypoxia over a range of possible ESM scenarios (Mastrandrea et al., 2010). Should all 625 management actions be implemented as outlined in the USEPA's Total Maximum Daily Load 626 (USEPA, 2010), it is very likely that future climate impacts on Bay watershed runoff will worsen Bay hypoxia by a far smaller amount, relative to 1990s reference conditions. These findings are 627 628 consistent with those of Irby et al. (2018) who also examined the impacts of watershed climate on Chesapeake Bay hypoxia for the mid-21st century. When evaluating the effects of watershed 629 630 climate impacts and management actions together, Irby et al. (2018) estimated an average AHV increase of 12.8 km³ d, which is well within the range of 17.1 ± 34.8 km³ d reported here. 631 (Interestingly, the combined impact of all climate stressors, i.e. atmosphere, ocean, and 632 633 watershed, increased average AHV by 24.5 km³ d, which is also within the range of the results reported here). Because climate change impacts are likely to increase total nitrogen loads, 634 635 implementing nutrient reductions that do not account for the detrimental effects of climate 636 change will reduce the likelihood of attaining water quality targets. Further quantifying a range 637 of future estimates of watershed discharge and nitrogen loading using regional models is critical 638 to understanding the possibilities and limitations of mitigating negative climate impacts via 639 nutrient reductions.

640 Recent findings support the hypothesis that nutrient reductions will improve water quality 641 despite projected climate impacts in both freshwater systems (Wade et al., 2022) and other 642 coastal marine systems (Whitney and Vlahos, 2021; Saraiva et al., 2019a; Wåhlström et al., 2020; Meier et al., 2021; Große et al., 2020; Jarvis et al., 2022). In the Chesapeake Bay, reduced 643 644 nutrient loading (Zhang et al., 2018; Murphy et al., 2022) has already helped mitigate growing 645 climate change pressures (Frankel et al., 2022), despite rapidly increasing Bay temperatures over 646 the past 30 years (Hinson et al., 2021). Like these prior studies, our findings confirm that 647 management actions will likely produce even greater benefits to O_2 in coastal zones strongly 648 affected by terrestrial runoff. While direct effects (e.g., air temperature) are expected to increase 649 hypoxia more so than watershed changes in Chesapeake Bay (Irby et al., 2018, Ni et al., 2019),





the comparatively greater impacts of management actions reported here are also likely tosubstantially reduce the overall risk from a multitude of co-occurring climatic stressors.

652

653 **4.4 Study Limitations and Future Research Directions**

655 Despite the plainly evident finding of nutrient reduction strategies improving water quality and counteracting negative climate change watershed impacts, a number of important caveats 656 657 should temper this conclusion. First, the subset of scenarios that include management actions is limited to a set of five ESMs statistically downscaled with a single methodology and applied to 658 659 one watershed model. As demonstrated in this work, this assumption may oversimplify the complex relationship between climate forcings and watershed model simulations, especially 660 given that DLEM scenarios produce more change in nitrate and consequently more hypoxia than 661 662 Phase 6 scenarios. Management actions implemented in Phase 6 nutrient reduction scenarios represent a multitude of possible methods to reduce point and nonpoint source pollution that are 663 664 assumed to be fully implemented with a high operational efficacy by mid-century, but the true performance of best management practices operating under future hydroclimatic stressors 665 remains largely unresolved (Hanson et al., 2022). Additionally, the importance of legacy 666 667 nitrogen inputs to the Bay may grow over time (Ator and Denver, 2015; Chang et al., 2021), and can only be properly accounted for via a long-term transient simulation that accounts for 668 669 changing groundwater conditions.

670 A key strength of the delta method applied here is its ability to remove the influence of 671 interannual variability, which is known to strongly influence hypoxia in the Chesapeake Bay (Bever et al., 2013). However, the delta method is unable to account for the impacts of 672 673 unanticipated extreme events, or changing patterns of precipitation intensity, duration, and 674 frequency that produce dramatic responses in sediment washoff, scour, and consequent watershed organic nitrogen export. Air temperature and precipitation were the only watershed 675 676 model input variables adjusted in this analysis, allowing for a more equivalent comparison between downscaling approaches. Future representations of watershed change may also better 677 678 account for changes in runoff through the inclusion of factors like ESM-estimated relative 679 humidity that can help avoid possible unreasonable amplification of potential evapotranspiration 680 that would decrease tributary discharge (Milly and Dunne, 2011) and associated nutrient loads.

681 Although main stem Bay oxygen levels are the focus of this study, watershed impacts are 682 also likely to influence water quality in smaller scale tributaries. Differences in Chesapeake Bay 683 temperatures introduced by ESM and downscaling method have also been investigated by Muhling et al. (2018), and contribute to biogeochemical variability via direct impacts of 684 atmospheric temperature on Bay warming. Incorporating different facets of these relative 685 686 uncertainties into projections of coastal change has also been demonstrated to affect ecological 687 outcomes like those surrounding fisheries (Reum et al., 2020; Bossier et al., 2021). Thus, the 688 impacts of these uncertainties are also very likely to affect socio-economic systems tied to 689 coastal resources. The analytical method applied here is well established within climatic and 690 terrestrial settings, so the relative dearth of coastal applications (excluding Meier et al., 2021) 691 may be more related to a consequence of computational demand or greater focus on uncertain 692 parameterizations of marine biogeochemical processes (Jarvis et al., 2022) that also play a large 693 role in potential future hypoxia outcomes.

- 694
- 695 **5** Conclusions





696

697 Coastal ecosystems like the Chesapeake Bay that are currently and will likely continue to be 698 negatively affected by climate impacts exhibit complex responses in future scenarios, 699 demonstrating our lack of complete system understanding. While this research reaffirms the 700 importance of management actions in reducing levels of hypoxia, it also highlights the fact that uncertainties in climate-impacted watershed conditions will affect estimates of Chesapeake Bay 701 702 O₂ levels. Additional study of uncertainty interactions within a full climate scenario (that 703 includes the impacts of changing atmospheric and oceanic conditions) will help better quantify a range of hypoxia projections, among other environmental conditions within the Chesapeake Bay. 704 These results underscore the need for additional rigorous analyses of model parameterizations 705 and their contributions to model scenario uncertainty to help identify biogeochemical processes 706 that are most sensitive to climate change impacts and warrant further investigation. The 707 708 development of more rapid techniques to evaluate a broader range of future water quality and ecological outcomes, and an inspection of their underlying assumptions, can help provide a 709 710 better mechanistic understanding of complex reactions to multiple climate stressors. Like ongoing efforts to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and lessen the impacts of future climate 711 change globally, continuing efforts to reduce eutrophication in coastal waters will help improve 712 ecosystem resilience and the benefits derived by communities dependent on their function. 713 714 Indeed, nutrient reduction plans are likely to become even more essential to managers tasked with preserving the health and function of rapidly evolving coastal environments and unfamiliar 715 future conditions. 716 717





718 **Appendix A:**

719

720 Original partitioning of organic nitrogen pools from the DLEM and Phase 6 watershed 721 models was based on fixed fractions previously described in Frankel et al. (2022). There, 80% of 722 the refractory organic nitrogen (rorN) loadings from Phase 6 were allocated to the small detritus 723 nitrogen (SDeN) pool and the remainder was applied to the refractory dissolved organic nitrogen (rDON) pool in ChesROMS-ECB. More realistic changes to this partitioning of watershed rorN 724 725 loadings were implemented, which decreased the lability of organic nitrogen loads overall. A specified threshold of rorN loadings was set at the 90th percentile of reference Phase 6 watershed 726 inputs to the estuarine model, and thresholds were also set for individual river levels of discharge 727 at the 50th and 90th percentiles of Phase 6 reference simulations. Below the 50th percentile of 728 discharge levels, 80% of the rorN inputs below the specified rorN threshold were allocated to 729 730 ChesROMS-ECB's SDeN pool, and the remainder were assigned to the rDON pool. Between the 50^{th} and 90^{th} percentiles of discharge events, 50% of the rorN load below the specified rorN 731 threshold was apportioned to ChesROMS-ECB's SDeN and rDON pools. At the uppermost 732 levels of discharge (greater than the 90th percentile), 5% or rorN was allocated to SDeN and 95% 733 was given to rDON within ChesROMS-ECB. For any partitioning of an organic nitrogen load, 734 735 regardless of the level of discharge, rorN loading above this cutoff was allocated to ChesROMS-736 ECB's rDON pool. The rorN load below this threshold was allocated according to the 737 fractionations described above. Changes to Phase 6 watershed loadings were mapped to 738 equivalent DLEM watershed input variables, following the methodology of Frankel et al. (2022). 739





740 **Competing Interests**: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Author contribution: MF, RN, HT, and GS were responsible for project conceptualization and
funding acquisition. MH, ZB, and GB were responsible for data curation used in the
experiments. KH and MF planned the model experiments; KH, MF, and PS are responsible for
the methodology (model creation). KH conducted the investigation and formal analysis, and
created software and visualizations of results; KH wrote the original manuscript draft; MF, RN,
MH, ZB, GB, PS, HT, and GS reviewed and edited the manuscript.

748

749 Acknowledgements: This paper is the result of research funded by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science under award 750 NA16NOS4780207 to the Virginia Institute of Marine Science. Additional funding support was 751 752 provided by the VIMS Academic Studies Office. Feedback from principal investigators, team members, and the Management Transition and Advisory Group (MTAG) of the Chesapeake 753 754 Hypoxia Analysis & Modeling Program (CHAMP) benefited this research. The authors acknowledge William & Mary Research Computing for providing computational resources 755 and/or technical support that have contributed to the results reported within this paper 756 757 (https://www.wm.edu/it/rc). The authors also acknowledge the World Climate Research 758 Programme's Working Group on Coupled Modelling, which is responsible for CMIP, and we thank the climate modeling groups (listed in Table S3 of this paper) for producing and making 759 760 available their model output. For CMIP, the U.S. Department of Energy's Program for Climate 761 Model Diagnosis and Intercomparison provides coordinating support and led development of 762 software infrastructure in partnership with the Global Organization for Earth System Science 763 Portals. The model results used in the manuscript are permanently archived at the W&M 764 ScholarWorks data repository associated with this article and are available for free download (https://doi.org/xxxx). 765





766	References
767	
768	Abatzoglou, J. I., & Brown, I. J.: A comparison of statistical downscaling methods suited for
769	windfire applications, int. J. Climatol., 32 , 5 , $7/2-780$, https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.2312,
770	2012.
771	Anandni, A., Frei, A., Pierson, D. C., Schneiderman, E. M., Zion, M. S., Lounsbury, D., &
772 773	assessment, Water Resour. Res., 47, 3, 1–10, https://doi.org/10.1029/2010WR009104, 2011.
774 775	Ator, S.W., and Denver, J.M.: Understanding nutrients in the Chesapeake Bay watershed and implications for management and restoration—the Eastern Shore (ver. 1.2, June 2015): U.S.
776	Geological Survey Circular 1406, 72 p., http://dx.doi.org/10.3133/cir1406, 2015.
777	Ator, S., Schwarz, G. E., Sekellick, A. J., & Bhatt, G.: Predicting Near-Term Effects of Climate
778	Change on Nitrogen Transport to Chesapeake Bay, J. Am. Water Resour. Assoc., 58, 4, 578-
779	596, https://doi.org/10.1111/1752-1688.13017, 2022.
780	BACC II Author Team: Second Assessment of Climate Change for the Baltic Sea Basin, in:
781	Regional Climate Studies, Springer International Publishing, Cham,
782	https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-16006-1, 2015.
783	Bevacqua, E., Shepherd, T. G., Watson, P. A. G., Sparrow, S., Wallom, D., & Mitchell, D.:
784	Larger Spatial Footprint of Wintertime Total Precipitation Extremes in a Warmer Climate,
785	Geophys. Res. Lett., 48, 8, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL091990, 2021.
786	Bever, A. J., Friedrichs, M. A. M., Friedrichs, C. T., Scully, M. E., & Lanerolle, L. W. J.:
787	Combining observations and numerical model results to improve estimates of hypoxic
788	volume within the Chesapeake Bay, USA, J. Geophys. Res. Ocean., 118, 10, 4924–4944,
789	https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrc.20331, 2013.
790	Bever, A. J., Friedrichs, M. A. M., Friedrichs, C. T., & Scully, M. E.: Estimating Hypoxic
791	Volume in the Chesapeake Bay Using Two Continuously Sampled Oxygen Profiles, J.
792	Geophys. Res. Ocean., 123, 9, 6392–6407, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JC014129, 2018.
793	Bever, A. J., Friedrichs, M. A. M., & St-Laurent, P.: Real-time environmental forecasts of the
794	Chesapeake Bay: Model setup, improvements, and online visualization, Environ. Model.
795	Softw., 140, March, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2021.105036, 2021.
796	Bosshard, T., Carambia, M., Goergen, K., Kotlarski, S., Krahe, P., Zappa, M., & Schär, C.:
/9/	Quantifying uncertainty sources in an ensemble of hydrological climate-impact projections,
798	Water Resour. Res., 49, 3, 1523–1536, https://doi.org/10.1029/2011WR011533, 2013.
799	Bossier, S., Nielsen, J. R., Almroth-Rosell, E., Hoglund, A., Bastardie, F., Neuenfeldt, S.,
800	Wahlstrom, I., & Christensen, A.: Integrated ecosystem impacts of climate change and
801	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.com/main.Baltic lishery resources, Ecol. Modell., 455, May,
802	nups://doi.org/10.1010/j.ecoimodei.2021.109009, 2021.
803	Cilbert D. Cutiónicz D. Jacobson K. Jacinto C. S. Limburg K. E. Montos I. Nogyi S.
804 805	W A Ditcher C C Debalais N N Domen M D Dece K A Scibal D A
805 806	W. A., Flichel, G. C., Rabalais, N. N., Roman, M. R., Rose, K. A., Seidel, D. A., Zhang, L. Daalining avugan in the global accord and coastal waters. Science (80,), 250, 6271
800 807	https://doi.org/10.1126/science.com7240.2018
808	C38 (Conernicus Climate Change Service) · "EPA5: Fifth Generation of ECMWE Atmospheric
809	Reanalyses of the Global Climate "Conernicus Climate Change Service Climate Data Store
810	(CDS) https://cds.climate.copernicus.eu/cdsapp#1/home_2017
010	(CDC). https://cdciennale.copernicus.eu/cdcupp///noine, 2017.





- Cai, X., Shen, J., Zhang, Y. J., Qin, Q., Wang, Z., & Wang, H.: Impacts of Sea-Level Rise on
 Hypoxia and Phytoplankton Production in Chesapeake Bay: Model Prediction and
- Hypoxia and Phytoplankton Production in Chesapeake Bay: Model Prediction and
 Assessment, J. Am. Water Resour. Assoc., 1–18, https://doi.org/10.1111/1752-1688.12921,
- 815 Asses 814 2021.
- 815 Carter, T.R., Parry, M.L., Nishioka, S. and Harasawa, H., 1994. Technical Guidelines for
- 816 Assessing Climate Change Impacts and Adaptations. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate
- 817 Change Working Group II. University College London and Center for Global Environmental818 Research, Japan. 60 pp.
- Chang, S. Y., Zhang, Q., Byrnes, D. K., Basu, N. B., & van Meter, K. J.: Chesapeake legacies:
 The importance of legacy nitrogen to improving Chesapeake Bay water quality, Environ.
 Res. Lett., 16, 8, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ac0d7b, 2021.
- Chesapeake Bay Program DataHub: http://data.chesapeakebay.net/WaterQuality, last access: 18
 April 2022.
- Chesapeake Bay Program. Chesapeake Assessment and Scenario Tool (CAST) Version 2019.
 Chesapeake Bay Program Office, https://cast.chesapeakebay.net/, 2020.
- Da, F., Friedrichs, M. A. M., St-Laurent, P., Shadwick, E. H., Najjar, R. G., & Hinson, K. E.:
 Mechanisms Driving Decadal Changes in the Carbonate System of a Coastal Plain Estuary, J.
 Geophys. Res. Ocean., 126, 6, 1–23, https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JC017239, 2021.
- Bussin, R., Curchitser, E. N., Stock, C. A., & Van Oostende, N.: Biogeochemical drivers of
 changing hypoxia in the California Current Ecosystem, Deep. Res. Part II Top. Stud.
 Oceanogr., 169–170, May, 104590, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2019.05.013, 2019.
- Easton, Z., Scavia, D., Alexander, R., Band, L., Boomer, K., Kleinman, P., Martin, J., Miller, A.,
 Pizzuto, J., Smith, D., Welty, C., Easton, Z., Scavia, D., Alexander, R., Band, L., Boomer,
 K., Kleinman, P., Martin, J., & Miller, A.: Scientific and Technical Advisory Committee
 Chesapeake Bay Watershed Model Phase 6 Review STAC Review Report (Vol. 47, Issue
- 836 September), 2017.
- Feng, Y., Friedrichs, M. A. M., Wilkin, J., Tian, H., Yang, Q., Hofmann, E. E., Wiggert, J. D., &
 Hood, R. R.: Chesapeake Bay nitrogen fluxes derived from a land- estuarine ocean
 biogeochemical modeling system: Model description, evaluation, and nitrogen budgets, J.
 Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences, 120, 1666–1695, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JG003800,
 2015.
- Fennel, K., & Laurent, A.: N and P as ultimate and proximate limiting nutrients in the northern
 Gulf of Mexico: Implications for hypoxia reduction strategies, Biogeosciences, 15, 10, 3121–
 3131, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-15-3121-2018, 2018.
- 845 Fennel, K., Gehlen, M., Brasseur, P., Brown, C. W., Ciavatta, S., Cossarini, G., Crise, A.,
- 846 Edwards, C. A., Ford, D., Friedrichs, M. A. M., Gregoire, M., Jones, E., Kim, H.-C.,
- 847 Lamouroux, J., Murtugudde, R., Perruche, C., and the GODAE OceanView Marine
- 848 Ecosystem Analysis and Prediction Task Team: Advancing Marine Biogeochemical and
- Ecosystem Reanalyses and Forecasts as Tools for Monitoring and Managing Ecosystem
 Health, Front. Mar. Sci., 6, https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2019.00089, 2019.
- 851 Frankel, L. T., Friedrichs, M. A. M., St-Laurent, P., Bever, A. J., Lipcius, R. N., Bhatt, G., &
- Shenk, G. W.: Nitrogen reductions have decreased hypoxia in the Chesapeake Bay: Evidence
 from empirical and numerical modeling, Sci. Total Environ., 814,
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.152722, 2022.





- Gilbert, D., Rabalais, N. N., Díaz, R. J., & Zhang, J.: Evidence for greater oxygen decline rates
 in the coastal ocean than in the open ocean, Biogeosciences, 7, 7, 2283–2296,
- https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-7-2283-2010, 2010.
- Große, F., Fennel, K., Zhang, H., & Laurent, A.: Quantifying the contributions of riverine vs.
 oceanic nitrogen to hypoxia in the East China Sea, Biogeosciences, 17, 10, 2701–2714, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-17-2701-2020, 2020.
- Gudmundsson, L., Boulange, J., Do, H. X., Gosling, S. N., Grillakis, M. G., Koutroulis, A. G.,
 Leonard, M., Liu, J., Schmied, H. M., Papadimitriou, L., Pokhrel, Y., Seneviratne, S. I.,
- Satoh, Y., Thiery, W., Westra, S., Zhang, X., & Zhao, F.: Globally observed trends in mean
 and extreme river flow attributed to climate change, Science, 371, 6534, 1159–1162,
 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aba3996, 2021.
- Hagy, J. D., Boynton, W. R., Keefe, C. W., & Wood, K. V.: Hypoxia in Chesapeake Bay, 1950-2001: Long-term change in relation to nutrient loading and river flow, Estuaries, 27, 4, 634–658, https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02907650, 2004.
- Hanson, J., E. Bock, B. Asfaw, and Z.M. Easton.: A systematic review of Chesapeake Bay
 climate change impacts and uncertainty: watershed processes, pollutant delivery and BMP
 performance. CBP/TRS-330-22. Available at https://bit.ly/BMP-CC-synth, 2022.
- Harding, L. W., Mallonee, M. E., & Perry, E. S.: Toward a predictive understanding of primary
 productivity in a temperate, partially stratified estuary, Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci., 55, 3, 437–
 463, https://doi.org/10.1006/ecss.2001.0917, 2002.
- Hawkins, E., & Sutton, R.: The potential to narrow uncertainty in regional climate predictions,
 Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 90, 8, 1095–1107, https://doi.org/10.1175/2009BAMS2607.1,
 2009.
- Hein, B., Viergutz, C., Wyrwa, J., Kirchesch, V., & Schöl, A.: Impacts of climate change on the
 water quality of the Elbe Estuary (Germany), J. Appl. Water Eng. Res., 6, 1, 28–39,
 https://doi.org/10.1080/23249676.2016.1209438, 2018.
- Hinson, K. E., Friedrichs, M. A. M., St-Laurent, P., Da, F., & Najjar, R. G.: Extent and Causes of
 Chesapeake Bay Warming, J. Am. Water Resour. Assoc., 1–21,
 https://doi.org/10.1111/1752-1688.12916, 2021.
- Hirsch, R. M., Moyer, D. L., & Archfield, S. A.: Weighted regressions on time, discharge, and
 season (WRTDS), with an application to chesapeake bay river inputs, J. Am. Water Resour.
 Assoc., 46, 5, 857–880, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-1688.2010.00482.x, 2010.
- Hong, B., Liu, Z., Shen, J., Wu, H., Gong, W., Xu, H., & Wang, D.: Potential physical impacts
 of sea-level rise on the Pearl River Estuary, China, J. Mar. Syst., 201,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2019.103245, 2020.
- Hubs.//doi.org/10.1010/j.j.marsys.2019.103243, 2020.
 Hood, R. R., Shenk, G. W., Dixon, R. L., Smith, S. M. C., Ball, W. P., Bash, J. O., Batiuk, R.,
 Boomer, K., Brady, D. C., Cerco, C., Claggett, P., de Mutsert, K., Easton, Z. M., Elmore, A.
 J., Friedrichs, M. A. M., Harris, L. A., Ihde, T. F., Lacher, L., Li, L., ... Zhang, Y. J.: The
- J., Friedrichs, M. A. M., Harris, L. A., Ihde, T. F., Lacher, L., Li, L., ... Zhang, Y. J.: The
 Chesapeake Bay program modeling system: Overview and recommendations for future
- development, Ecol. Modell., 456, July, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2021.109635,
- 895 2021.
- Howarth, R. W., Swaney, D. P., Boyer, E. W., Marino, R., Jaworski, N., & Goodale, C.: The
 influence of climate on average nitrogen export from large watersheds in the Northeastern
 United States, Biogeochemistry, 79, 1–2, 163–186, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-006-
- **899 9010-1**, 2006.





900 901	Huang, H., Patricola, C. M., Winter, J. M., Osterberg, E. C., & Mankin, J. S.: Rise in Northeast US extreme precipitation caused by Atlantic variability and climate change, Weather Clim.
902	Extrem., 33, January, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wace.2021.100351, 2021.
903	IPCC, 2013: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group
904	I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovern- mental Panel on Climate Change
905	[Stocker, T.F., D. Qin, GK. Plattner, M. Tignor, S.K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y.
906	Xia, V. Bex and P.M. Midgley (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United
907	Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 1535 pp.
908	Irby, I. D., Friedrichs, M. A. M., Da, F., & Hinson, K. E.: The competing impacts of climate
909	change and nutrient reductions on dissolved oxygen in Chesapeake Bay, Biogeosciences, 15,
910	9, 2649–2668, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-15-2649-2018, 2018.
911	Irby, I. D., Friedrichs, M. A. M., Friedrichs, C. T., Bever, A. J., Hood, R. R., Lanerolle, L. W. J.,
912	Scully, M. E., Sellner, K., Shen, J., Testa, J., Li, M., Wang, H., Wang, P., Linker, L., & Xia,
913	M.: Challenges associated with modeling low-oxygen waters in Chesapeake Bay: A multiple
914	model comparison, Biogeosciences, 13, 7, 2011–2028, https://doi.org/10.5194/bgd-12-
915	20361-2015, 2016.
916	Jarvis, B. M., Pauer, J. J., Melendez, W., Wan, Y., Lehrter, J. C., Lowe, L. L., & Simmons, C.
917	W.: Inter-model comparison of simulated Gulf of Mexico hypoxia in response to reduced
918	nutrient loads: Effects of phytoplankton and organic matter parameterization, Environ.
919	Model. Softw., 151, 105365, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2022.105365, 2022.
920	Justić, D., Bierman Jr, V. J., Scavia, D., & Hetland, R. D.: Forecasting Gulf's Hypoxia : The
921	Next 50 Years? Forecasting Gulf's Hypoxia: The Next 50 Years?, Estuaries and Coasts, 30,
922	5, 791-801, https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02841334, 2007.
923	Justić, D., Rabalais, N. N., & Turner, R. E.: Effects of climate change on hypoxia in coastal
924	waters: A doubled CO2 scenario for the northern Gulf of Mexico, Limnol. Oceanogr., 41, 5,
925	992-1003, https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1996.41.5.0992, 1996.
926	Justić, D., Rabalais, N. N., & Turner, R. E.: Simulated responses of the Gulf of Mexico hypoxia
927	to variations in climate and anthropogenic nutrient loading, J. Mar. Syst., 42, 3-4, 115-126,
928	https://doi.org/10.1016/S0924-7963(03)00070-8, 2003.
929	Katsavounidis, I., Kuo, C. C. J., & Zhang, Z.: A New Initialization Technique for Generalized
930	Lloyd Iteration, IEEE Signal Process. Lett., 1, 10, 144–146,
931	https://doi.org/10.1109/97.329844, 1994.
932	Kemp, W. M., Boynton, W. R., Adolf, J. E., Boesch, D. F., Boicourt, W. C., Brush, G.,
933	Cornwell, J. C., Fisher, T. R., Glibert, P. M., Hagy, J. D., Harding, L. W., Houde, E. D.,
934	Kimmel, D. G., Miller, W. D., Newell, R. I. E., Roman, M. R., Smith, E. M., & Stevenson, J.
935	C.: Eutrophication of Chesapeake Bay: Historical trends and ecological interactions, Mar.
936	Ecol. Prog. Ser., 303, 1–29, https://doi.org/10.3354/meps303001, 2005.
937	Lachkar, Z., Lévy, M., & Smith, K. S.: Strong Intensification of the Arabian Sea Oxygen
938	Minimum Zone in Response to Arabian Gulf Warming, Geophys. Res. Lett., 46, 10, 5420-
939	5429, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL081631, 2019.
940	Lajaunie-Salla, K., Sottolichio, A., Schmidt, S., Litrico, X., Binet, G., & Abril, G.: Future
941	intensification of summer hypoxia in the tidal Garonne River (SW France) simulated by a
942	coupled hydro sedimentary-biogeochemical model, Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res., 25, 32, 31957-
943	31970, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-018-3035-6, 2018.





044	
944	Laurent, A., Fennel, K., Ko, D. S., & Lehrter, J.: Climate change projected to exacerbate impacts
945	of coastal Eutrophication in the Northern Gull of Mexico, J. Geophys. Res. Ocean., 125, 5, $2408, 2426$ https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JG012582, 2018
946	5408–5426, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JC015585, 2018.
947	Lee, M., Snevhakova, E., Malysnev, S., Milly, P. C. D., & Jane, Peter, R.: Climate variability
948	and extremes, interacting with nitrogen storage, amplify eutrophication risk, Geophys. Res.
949	Lett., 43, 7520–7528, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL069254, 2016.
950	Lehrter, J. C., Ko, D. S., Lowe, L. L., & Penta, B.: Predicted Effects of Climate Change on
951	Northern Gulf of Mexico Hypoxia, In D. Justic, K. A. Rose, R. D. Hetland, & K. Fennel
952	(Eds.), Modeling Coastal Hypoxia: Numerical Simulations of Patterns, Controls and Effects
953	of Dissolved Oxygen Dynamics (pp. 1/3–214) Springer https://doi.org/10.100//9/8-3-319-
954	$545/1-4_8, 201/.$
955	Lomas, M. W., Glibert, P. M., Shiah, F. K., & Smith, E. M.: Microbial processes and
956	temperature in Chesapeake Bay: Current relationships and potential impacts of regional
957	warming, Glob. Chang. Biol., 8, 1, 51–70, https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2486.2002.00454.x,
958	
959	MACAv2-METDATA: https://data.nal.usda.gov/dataset/climate-data-rpa-2020-assessment-
960	macav2-metdata-historical-modeled-1950-2005-and-future-2006-2099-projections-
961	conterminous-united-states-124-degree-grid-scale, last access: 25 April 2018.
962	Madakumbura, G. D., Goldenson, N., & Hall, A.: Over Global Land Areas Seen in Multiple
963	Observational Datasets, Nat. Commun. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-24262-x 2021.
964	Mastrandrea, M.D., Field, C.B., Stocker, T.F., Edenhofer O., Ebi, K.L., Frame, D.J., Held H.,
965	Kriegler, E., Mach, K.J., Matschoss, P.R., Plattner, GK., Yohe, G.W., & Zwiers, F.W.:
966	Guidance Note for Lead Authors of the IPCC Fifth Assessment Report on Consistent
967	Treatment of Uncertainties. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). Available
968	at http://www.ipcc.ch, 2010.
969	Meier, H. E. M., Andersson, H. C., Eilola, K., Gustafsson, B. G., Kuznetsov, I., Mller-Karulis,
970	B., Neumann, T., & Savchuk, O. P.: Hypoxia in future climates: A model ensemble study for
971	the Baltic Sea, Geophys. Res. Lett., 38, 24, 1–6, https://doi.org/10.1029/2011GL049929,
972	2011a.
973	Meier, H. E. M., Dieterich, C., & Gröger, M.: Natural variability is a large source of uncertainty
974	in future projections of hypoxia in the Baltic Sea, Commun. Earth Environ., 2, 1,
975	https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-021-00115-9, 2021.
976	Meier, H. E. M., Dieterich, C., Gröger, M., Dutheil, C., Börgel, F., Safonova, K., Christensen, O.
977	B., & Kjellström, E.: Oceanographic regional climate projections for the Baltic Sea until
978	2100, Earth Syst. Dyn., 13, 159–199, https://doi.org/10.5194/esd-13-159-2022, 2022.
979	Meier, H. E. M., Edman, M., Eilola, K., Placke, M., Neumann, T., Andersson, H. C.,
980	Brunnabend, S. E., Dieterich, C., Frauen, C., Friedland, R., Gröger, M., Gustafsson, B. G.,
981	Gustafsson, E., Isaev, A., Kniebusch, M., Kuznetsov, I., Müller-Karulis, B., Naumann, M.,
982	Omstedt, A., Savchuk, O. P.: Assessment of uncertainties in scenario simulations of
983	biogeochemical cycles in the Baltic Sea, Front. Mar. Sci., 6, MAR,
984	https://doi.org/10.3389/tmars.2019.00046, 2019.
985	Meier, H. E. M., Eilola, K., & Almroth, E.: Climate-related changes in marine ecosystems
986	simulated with a 3-dimensional coupled physical-biogeochemical model of the Baltic Sea,
987	Clim. Res., 48, 1, 31–55, https://doi.org/10.3354/cr00968, 2011b.

24





- Meire, L., Soetaert, K. E. R., & Meysman, F. J. R.: Impact of global change on coastal oxygen
 dynamics and risk of hypoxia, Biogeosciences, 10, 4, 2633–2653, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg10-2633-2013, 2013.
- Milly, P. C. D., & Dunne, K. A.: On the hydrologic adjustment of climate-model projections:
 The potential pitfall of potential evapotranspiration, Earth Interact., 15, 1, 1–14,
 https://doi.org/10.1175/2010EI363.1, 2011.
- Muhling, B. A., Gaitán, C. F., Stock, C. A., Saba, V. S., Tommasi, D., & Dixon, K. W.: Potential
 Salinity and Temperature Futures for the Chesapeake Bay Using a Statistical Downscaling
 Spatial Disaggregation Framework, Estuaries and Coasts, 41, 2, 349–372,
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-017-0280-8, 2018.
- Murphy, R. R., Keisman, J., Harcum, J., Karrh, R. R., Lane, M., Perry, E. S., & Zhang, Q.:
 Nutrient Improvements in Chesapeake Bay: Direct Effect of Load Reductions and
 Implications for Coastal Management, Environ. Sci. Technol., 56, 1, 260–270,
 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.1c05388, 2022.
- Najjar, R. G., Pyke, C. R., Adams, M. B., Breitburg, D., Hershner, C., Kemp, M., Howarth, R.,
 Mulholland, M. R., Paolisso, M., Secor, D., Sellner, K., Wardrop, D., & Wood, R.: Potential
 climate-change impacts on the Chesapeake Bay, Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci., 86, 1, 1–20,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2009.09.026, 2010.
- Nash, J. E., & Sutcliffe, J. V.: River Flow Forecasting through Conceptual Models Part I A
 Discussion of Principles*, J. Hydrol., 10, 282–290, 1970.
- Neumann, T., Eilola, K., Gustafsson, B., Müller-Karulis, B., Kuznetsov, I., Meier, H. E. M., &
 Savchuk, O. P.: Extremes of temperature, oxygen and blooms in the baltic sea in a changing
 climate, Ambio, 41, 6, 574–585, https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-012-0321-2, 2012.
- Ni, W., Li, M., Ross, A. C., & Najjar, R. G.: Large Projected Decline in Dissolved Oxygen in a
 Eutrophic Estuary Due to Climate Change, J. Geophys. Res. Ocean., 124, 11, 8271–8289,
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JC015274, 2019.
- Northrop, P. J., & Chandler, R. E.: Quantifying sources of uncertainty in projections of future climate, J. Clim., 27, 23, 8793–8808, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-14-00265.1, 2014.
- Officer, C. B., Biggs, R. B., Taft, J. L., Cronin, L. E., Tyler, M. A., & Boynton, W. R.:
 Chesapeake Bay anoxia: Origin, development, and significance, Science, 223, 4631, 22–27, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.223.4631.22, 1984.
- Ohn, I., Kim, S., Seo, S. B., Kim, Y. O., & Kim, Y.: Model-wise uncertainty decomposition in multi-model ensemble hydrological projections, Stoch. Environ. Res. Risk Assess., 35, 12, 2549–2565, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00477-021-02039-4, 2021.
- Olson, M.: Guide to Using Chesapeake Bay Program Water Quality Monitoring Data, edited by
 M. Mallonee and M.E. Ley. Annapolis, MD: Chesapeake Bay Program, 2012.
- Pan, S., Bian, Z., Tian, H., Yao, Y., Najjar, R. G., Friedrichs, M. A. M., Hofmann, E. E., Xu, R.,
 & Zhang, B.: Impacts of Multiple Environmental Changes on Long-Term Nitrogen Loading
 From the Chesapeake Bay Watershed, J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences, 126, 5,
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JG005826, 2021.
- Peterson, E. L.: Benthic shear stress and sediment condition, Aquac. Eng., 21, 2, 85–111, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0144-8609(99)00025-4, 1999.
- 1030 Pozo Buil, M., Jacox, M. G., Fiechter, J., Alexander, M. A., Bograd, S. J., Curchitser, E. N.,
- Edwards, C. A., Rykaczewski, R. R., & Stock, C. A.: A Dynamically Downscaled Ensemble
 of Future Projections for the California Current System, Front. Mar. Sci., 8, April, 1–18,
- 1032 of Future Projections for the California Current System, Front. Mar. Sci., 8, Apri 1033 https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2021.612874, 2021.





- Prudhomme, C., Reynard, N., & Crooks, S.: Downscaling of global climate models for flood
 frequency analysis: Where are we now?, Hydrol. Process., 16, 1137–1150,
- 1036 https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.1054, 2002.
- 1037 Reum, J. C. P., Blanchard, J. L., Holsman, K. K., Aydin, K., Hollowed, A. B., Hermann, A. J.,
 1038 Cheng, W., Faig, A., Haynie, A. C., & Punt, A. E.: Ensemble Projections of Future Climate
 1039 Change Impacts on the Eastern Bering Sea Food Web Using a Multispecies Size Spectrum
 1040 Model, Front. Mar. Sci., 7, March, 1–17, https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2020.00124, 2020.
- Ross, A. C., & Najjar, R. G.: Evaluation of methods for selecting climate models to simulate
 future hydrological change, Clim. Change, 157, 3–4, 407–428,
- 1043 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-019-02512-8, 2019.
- Ryabchenko, V. A., Karlin, L. N., Isaev, A. V., Vankevich, R. E., Eremina, T. R., Molchanov,
 M. S., & Savchuk, O. P.: Model estimates of the eutrophication of the Baltic Sea in the
 contemporary and future climate, Oceanology, 56, 1, 36–45,
- 1047 https://doi.org/10.1134/S0001437016010161, 2016.
- Saraiva, S., Markus Meier, H. E., Andersson, H., Höglund, A., Dieterich, C., Gröger, M.,
 Hordoir, R., & Eilola, K.: Baltic Sea ecosystem response to various nutrient load scenarios in
 present and future climates, Clim. Dyn., 52, 5–6, 3369–3387, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382018-4330-0, 2019a.
- Saraiva, S., Markus Meier, H. E., Andersson, H., Höglund, A., Dieterich, C., Gröger, M.,
 Hordoir, R., & Eilola, K.: Uncertainties in projections of the Baltic Sea ecosystem driven by
 an ensemble of global climate models, Front. Earth Sci., 6, January, 1–18,
 https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2018.00244, 2019b.
- Schaefer, S. C., & Alber, M.: Temperature controls a latitudinal gradient in the proportion of
 watershed nitrogen exported to coastal ecosystems, Biogeochemistry, 85, 3, 333–346,
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-007-9144-9, 2007.
- Shenk, G., M. Bennett, D. Boesch, L. Currey, M. Friedrichs, M. Herrmann, R. Hood, T. Johnson,
 L. Linker, A. Miller, and D. Montali. 2021a. Chesapeake Bay Program Climate Change
 Modeling 2.0 Workshop. STAC Publication Number 21-003, Edgewater, MD. 35 pp.
- Shenk, G. W., Bhatt, G., Tian, R., Cerco, C.F., Bertani, I., Linker, L.C., 2021b. Modeling
 Climate Change Effects on Chesapeake Water Quality Standards and Development of 2025
 Planning Targets to Address Climate Change. CBPO Publication Number 328-21, Annapolis,
 MD. 145 pp.
- Siedlecki, S. A., Pilcher, D., Howard, E. M., Deutsch, C., MacCready, P., Norton, E. L., Frenzel,
 H., Newton, J., Feely, R. A., Alin, S. R., & Klinger, T.: Coastal processes modify projections
 of some climate-driven stressors in the California Current System, Biogeosciences, 18, 9,
 2871–2890, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-18-2871-2021, 2021.
- Sinha, E., Michalak, A. M., & Balaji, V.: Eutrophication will increase during the 21st century as a result of precipitation changes, Science, 357, 6349, 1–5,
- 1072 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aan2409, 2017.
- Springer, G. S., Dowdy, H. S., & Eaton, L. S.: Sediment budgets for two mountainous basins
 affected by a catastrophic storm: Blue ridge mountains, Virginia, Geomorphology, 37, 1–2,
 135–148, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-555X(00)00066-0, 2001.
- St-Laurent, P., Friedrichs, M. A. M., Najjar, R. G., Shadwick, E. H., Tian, H., & Yao, Y.:
 Relative impacts of global changes and regional watershed changes on the inorganic carbon
- 1078 balance of the Chesapeake Bay, Biogeosciences, 17, 14, 3779–3796,
- 1079 https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-17-3779-2020, 2020.





1080	Tango, P. J., & Batiuk, R. A.: Chesapeake Bay recovery and factors affecting trends: Long-term
1081	monitoring, indicators, and insights, Reg. Stu. in Mar. Sci., 4, 12-20,
1082	https://doi.org//10.1016/j.rsma.2015.11.010, 2016.
1083	Tebaldi, C., Arblaster, J. M., & Knutti, R.: Mapping model agreement on future climate
1084	projections, Geophys. Res. Lett., 38, 23, 1–5, https://doi.org/10.1029/2011GL049863, 2011.
1085	Testa, J. M., Basenback, N., Shen, C., Cole, K., Moore, A., Hodgkins, C., & Brady, D. C.:
1086	Modeling Impacts of Nutrient Loading, Warming, and Boundary Exchanges on Hypoxia and
1087	Metabolism in a Shallow Estuarine Ecosystem, J. Am. Water Resour. Assoc., 1-22,
1088	https://doi.org/10.1111/1752-1688.12912, 2021.
1089	Testa, J. M., Murphy, R. R., Brady, D. C., & Kemp, W. M.: Nutrient-and climate-induced shifts
1090	in the phenology of linked biogeochemical cycles in a temperate estuary, Front. Mar. Sci., 5,
1091	114, https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2018.00114, 2018.
1092	Tian, R., Cerco, C. F., Bhatt, G., Linker, L. C., & Shenk, G. W.: Mechanisms Controlling
1093	Climate Warming Impact on the Occurrence of Hypoxia in Chesapeake Bay, J. Am. Water
1094	Resour. Assoc., 1–21, https://doi.org/10.1111/1752-1688.12907, 2021.
1095	USEPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency).: Chesapeake Bay Total Maximum Daily Load
1096	for Nitrogen, Phosphorus and Sediment. Annapolis, MD: U.S. Environmental Protection
1097	Agency Chesapeake Bay Program Office. http://www.epa.gov/
1098	reg3wapd/tmdl/ChesapeakeBay/tmdlexec.html, 2010.
1099	Vetter, T., Reinhardt, J., Flörke, M., van Griensven, A., Hattermann, F., Huang, S., Koch, H.,
1100	Pechlivanidis, I. G., Plötner, S., Seidou, O., Su, B., Vervoort, R. W., & Krysanova, V.:
1101	Evaluation of sources of uncertainty in projected hydrological changes under climate change
1102	in 12 large-scale river basins, Clim. Change, 141, 3, 419–433,
1103	https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-016-1794-y, 2017.
1104	Wade, A. J., Skeffington, R. A., Couture, RM., Erlandsson Lampa, M., Groot, S., Halliday, S.
1105	J., Harezlak, V., Hejzlar, J., Jackson-Blake, L. A., Lepistö, A., Papastergiadou, E., Riera, J.
1106	L., Rankinen, K., Shahgedanova, M., Trolle, D., Whitehead, P. G., Psaltopoulos, D., &
1107	Skuras, D.: Land Use Change to Reduce Freshwater Nitrogen and Phosphorus will Be
1108	Effective Even with Projected Climate Change, Water, 14, 5, 829,
1109	https://doi.org/10.3390/w14050829, 2022.
1110	Wagena, M. B., Collick, A. S., Ross, A. C., Najjar, R. G., Rau, B., Sommerlot, A. R., Fuka, D.
1111	R., Kleinman, P. J. A., & Easton, Z. M.: Impact of climate change and climate anomalies on
1112	hydrologic and biogeochemical processes in an agricultural catchment of the Chesapeake
1113	Bay watershed, USA, Sci. Total Environ., 637–638, 1443–1454,
1114	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.05.116, 2018.
1115	Wåhlström, I., Höglund, A., Almroth-Rosell, E., MacKenzie, B. R., Gröger, M., Eilola, K.,
1116	Plikshs, M., & Andersson, H. C.: Combined climate change and nutrient load impacts on
1117	future habitats and eutrophication indicators in a eutrophic coastal sea, Limnol. Oceanogr.,
1118	1–18, https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.11446, 2020.
1119	Wakelin, S. L., Artioli, Y., Holt, J. T., Butenschön, M., & Blackford, J.: Controls on near-bed
1120	oxygen concentration on the Northwest European Continental Shelf under a potential future
1121	climate scenario, Prog. Oceanogr., 93, 2020.
1122	Wang, H. M., Chen, J., Xu, C. Y., Zhang, J., & Chen, H.: A Framework to Quantify the
1123	Uncertainty Contribution of GCMs Over Multiple Sources in Hydrological Impacts of
1124	Climate Change, Earth's Futur., 8, 8, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020EF001602, 2020.





 Witney, M.M.: Observed and projected global warming pressure on coastal hypoxia, Biogeosciences, 19, 4479-4497, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-19-4479-2022, 2022. Wolkovich, E. M., Cook, B. I., Allen, J. M., Crimmins, T. M., Betancourt, J. L., Travers, S. E., Pau, S., Regetz, J., Davies, T. J., Kraft, N. J. B., Ault, T. R., Bolmgren, K., Mazer, S. J., McCabe, G. J., McGill, B. J., Parmesan, C., Salamin, N., Schwartz, M. D., & Cleland, E. E.: Warming experiments underpredict plant phenological responses to climate change, Nature, 485, 7399, 494-497, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11014, 2012. Wood, A. W., Leung, L. R., Sridhar, V., & Lettenmaier, D. P.: Hydrologic implications of dynamical and statistical approaches to downscaling climate model outputs, Clim. Change, 62, 1–3, 189–216, https://doi.org/10.1023/B:CLIM.0000013685.99609.9e, 2004. Yang, Q., Tian, H., Friedrichs, M. A. M., Liu, M., Li, X., & Yang, J.: Hydrological responses to climate and land-use changes along the north american east coast: A 110-Year historical reconstruction, J. Am. Water Resour. Assoc., 51, 1, 47–67, https://doi.org/10.1111/jawr.12232, 2015. Yang, X., Wang, X., Cai, Z., & Cao, W.: Detecting spatiotemporal variations of maximum rainfall intensities at various time intervals across Virginia in the past half century, Atmos. Res., 255, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2021.105534, 2021. Yao, Y., Tian, H., Pan, S., Najjar, R. G., Friedrichs, M. A. M., Bian, Z., Li, H. Y., & Hofmann, E. E.: Riverine Carbon Cycling Over the Past Century in the Mid-Atlantic Region of the United States, J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences, 126, 5, 1–21, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020/G005968, 2021. Yau, Y. Y., Baker, D. M., & Thibodeau, B.: Quantifying the Impact of Anthropogenic Atmospheric Nitrogen Deposition on the Generation of Hypoxia under Future Emission Scenarios in Chinese Coastal Waters, Environ. Sci. Technol., 54, 7, 3920–3928, https://doi.org/10.1012/acs.est.0c00706, 2020. Y
 Whithey, M.M.: Observed and projected global warming pressure on coastal hypoxia, Biogeosciences, 19, 4479-4497, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-19-4479-2022, 2022. Wolkovich, E. M., Cook, B. I., Allen, J. M., Crimmins, T. M., Betancourt, J. L., Travers, S. E., Pau, S., Regetz, J., Davies, T. J., Kraft, N. J. B., Ault, T. R., Bolmgren, K., Mazer, S. J., McCabe, G. J., McGill, B. J., Parmesan, C., Salamin, N., Schwartz, M. D., & Cleland, E. E.: Warming experiments underpredict plant phenological responses to climate change, Nature, 485, 7399, 494–497, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11014, 2012. Wood, A. W., Leung, L. R., Sridhar, V., & Lettenmaier, D. P.: Hydrologic implications of dynamical and statistical approaches to downscaling climate model outputs, Clim. Change, 62, 1–3, 189–216, https://doi.org/10.1023/B:CLIM.0000013685.99609.9e, 2004. Yang, Q., Tian, H., Friedrichs, M. A. M., Liu, M., Li, X., & Yang, J.: Hydrological responses to climate and land-use changes along the north american east coast: A 110-Year historical reconstruction, J. Am. Water Resour. Assoc., 51, 1, 47–67, https://doi.org/10.1111/jawr.12232, 2015. Yang, X., Wang, X., Cai, Z., & Cao, W.: Detecting spatiotemporal variations of maximum rainfall intensities at various time intervals across Virginia in the past half century, Atmos. Res., 255, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2021.105534, 2021. Yao, Y., Tian, H., Pan, S., Najjar, R. G., Friedrichs, M. A. M., Bian, Z., Li, H. Y., & Hofmann, E.: Riverine Carbon Cycling Over the Past Century in the Mid-Atlantic Region of the United States, J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences, 126, 5, 1–21, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JG005968, 2021. Yau, Y. Y., Baker, D. M., & Thibodeau, B.: Quantifying the Impact of Anthropogenic Atmospheric Nitrogen Deposition on the Generation of Hypoxia under Future Emission Scenarios in Chinese Coastal Waters, Environ. Sci. Technol., 54, 7, 3920–3928, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c00706,
 Higgeosciences, 19, 44/9-449/, https://doi.org/10.3194/bg-19-44/9-2022, 2022. Wolkovich, E. M., Cook, B. I., Allen, J. M., Crimmins, T. M., Betancourt, J. L., Travers, S. E., Pau, S., Regetz, J., Davies, T. J., Kraft, N. J. B., Ault, T. R., Bolmgren, K., Mazer, S. J., McCabe, G. J., McGill, B. J., Parmesan, C., Salamin, N., Schwartz, M. D., & Cleland, E. E.: Warming experiments underpredict plant phenological responses to climate change, Nature, 485, 7399, 494–497, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11014, 2012. Wood, A. W., Leung, L. R., Sridhar, V., & Lettenmaier, D. P.: Hydrologic implications of dynamical and statistical approaches to downscaling climate model outputs, Clim. Change, 62, 1–3, 189–216, https://doi.org/10.1023/B:CLIM.0000013685.99609.9e, 2004. Yang, Q., Tian, H., Friedrichs, M. A. M., Liu, M., Li, X., & Yang, J.: Hydrological responses to climate and land-use changes along the north american east coast: A 110-Year historical reconstruction, J. Am. Water Resour. Assoc., 51, 1, 47–67, https://doi.org/10.1111/jawr.12232, 2015. Yang, X., Wang, X., Cai, Z., & Cao, W.: Detecting spatiotemporal variations of maximum rainfall intensities at various time intervals across Virginia in the past half century, Atmos. Res., 255, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2021.105534, 2021. Yao, Y., Tian, H., Pan, S., Najjar, R. G., Friedrichs, M. A. M., Bian, Z., Li, H. Y., & Hofmann, E. E.: Riverine Carbon Cycling Over the Past Century in the Mid-Atlantic Region of the United States, J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences, 126, 5, 1–21, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JG005968, 2021. Yau, Y. Y., Baker, D. M., & Thibodeau, B.: Quantifying the Impact of Anthropogenic Atmospheric Nitrogen Deposition on the Generation of Hypoxia under Future Emission Scenarios in Chinese Coastal Waters, Environ. Sci. Technol., 54, 7, 3920–3928, https://doi.org/10.1175/2011JCLI4085.1, 2011. Yahran, A. R., Zhang, Q., Tango, P., & Smith, E. P.: A water quality barometer for Chesapeak
 Wolkovich, E. M., Cook, B. I., Allen, J. M., Crimmins, I. M., Betancourt, J. L., Iravers, S. E., Pau, S., Regetz, J., Davies, T. J., Kraft, N. J. B., Ault, T. R., Bolmgren, K., Mazer, S. J., McCabe, G. J., McGill, B. J., Parmesan, C., Salamin, N., Schwartz, M. D., & Cleland, E. E.: Warming experiments underpredict plant phenological responses to climate change, Nature, 485, 7399, 494-497, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11014, 2012. Wood, A. W., Leung, L. R., Sridhar, V., & Lettenmaier, D. P.: Hydrologic implications of dynamical and statistical approaches to downscaling climate model outputs, Clim. Change, 62, 1–3, 189–216, https://doi.org/10.1023/B:CLIM.0000013685.99609.9e, 2004. Yang, Q., Tian, H., Friedrichs, M. A. M., Liu, M., Li, X., & Yang, J.: Hydrological responses to climate and land-use changes along the north american east coast: A 110-Year historical reconstruction, J. Am. Water Resour. Assoc., 51, 1, 47–67, https://doi.org/10.1111/jawr.12232, 2015. Yang, X., Wang, X., Cai, Z., & Cao, W.: Detecting spatiotemporal variations of maximum rainfall intensities at various time intervals across Virginia in the past half century, Atmos. Res., 255, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2021.105534, 2021. Yao, Y., Tian, H., Pan, S., Najjar, R. G., Friedrichs, M. A. M., Bian, Z., Li, H. Y., & Hofmann, E. E: Riverine Carbon Cycling Over the Past Century in the Mid-Atlantic Region of the United States, J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences, 126, 5, 1–21, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JG005968, 2021. Yau, Y. Y., Baker, D. M., & Thibodeau, B.: Quantifying the Impact of Anthropogenic Atmospheric Nitrogen Deposition on the Generation of Hypoxia under Future Emission Scenarios in Chinese Coastal Waters, Environ. Sci. Technol., 54, 7, 3920–3928, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c00706, 2020. Yip, S., Ferro, C. A. T.,
 Pau, S., Regetz, J., Davies, I. J., Kraft, N. J. B., Ault, I. K., Bolmgren, K., Mazer, S. J., McCabe, G. J., McGill, B. J., Parmesan, C., Salamin, N., Schwartz, M. D., & Cleland, E. E.: Warming experiments underpredict plant phenological responses to climate change, Nature, 485, 7399, 494–497, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11014, 2012. Wood, A. W., Leung, L. R., Sridhar, V., & Lettenmaier, D. P.: Hydrologic implications of dynamical and statistical approaches to downscaling climate model outputs, Clim. Change, 62, 1–3, 189–216, https://doi.org/10.1023/B:CLIM.0000013685.99609.9e, 2004. Yang, Q., Tian, H., Friedrichs, M. A. M., Liu, M., Li, X., & Yang, J.: Hydrological responses to climate and land-use changes along the north american east coast: A 110-Year historical reconstruction, J. Am. Water Resour. Assoc., 51, 1, 47–67, https://doi.org/10.1111/jawr.12232, 2015. Yang, X., Wang, X., Cai, Z., & Cao, W.: Detecting spatiotemporal variations of maximum rainfall intensities at various time intervals across Virginia in the past half century, Atmos. Res., 255, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2021.105534, 2021. Yao, Y., Tian, H., Pan, S., Najjar, R. G., Friedrichs, M. A. M., Bian, Z., Li, H. Y., & Hofmann, E. E.: Riverine Carbon Cycling Over the Past Century in the Mid-Atlantic Region of the United States, J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences, 126, 5, 1–21, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JG005968, 2021. Yau, Y. Y., Baker, D. M., & Thibodeau, B.: Quantifying the Impact of Anthropogenic Atmospheric Nitrogen Deposition on the Generation of Hypoxia under Future Emission Scenarios in Chinese Coastal Waters, Environ. Sci. Technol., 54, 7, 3920–3928, https://doi.org/10.102/acs.est.0c00706, 2020. Yip, S., Ferro, C. A. T., Stephenson, D.
 McCabe, G. J., McChil, B. J., Parmesan, C., Salamin, N., Schwartz, M. D., & Cleland, E. E.: Warming experiments underpredict plant phenological responses to climate change, Nature, 485, 7399, 494–497, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11014, 2012. Wood, A. W., Leung, L. R., Sridhar, V., & Lettenmaier, D. P.: Hydrologic implications of dynamical and statistical approaches to downscaling climate model outputs, Clim. Change, 62, 1–3, 189–216, https://doi.org/10.1023/B:CLIM.0000013685.99609.9e, 2004. Yang, Q., Tian, H., Friedrichs, M. A. M., Liu, M., Li, X., & Yang, J.: Hydrological responses to climate and land-use changes along the north american east coast: A 110-Year historical reconstruction, J. Am. Water Resour. Assoc., 51, 1, 47–67, https://doi.org/10.1111/jawr.12232, 2015. Yang, X., Wang, X., Cai, Z., & Cao, W.: Detecting spatiotemporal variations of maximum raifall intensities at various time intervals across Virginia in the past half century, Atmos. Res., 255, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2021.105534, 2021. Yao, Y., Tian, H., Pan, S., Najjar, R. G., Friedrichs, M. A. M., Bian, Z., Li, H. Y., & Hofmann, E. E.: Riverine Carbon Cycling Over the Past Century in the Mid-Atlantic Region of the United States, J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences, 126, 5, 1–21, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JG005968, 2021. Yau, Y. Y., Baker, D. M., & Thibodeau, B.: Quantifying the Impact of Anthropogenic Atmospheric Nitrogen Deposition on the Generation of Hypoxia under Future Emission Scenarios in Chinese Coastal Waters, Environ. Sci. Technol., 54, 7, 3920–3928, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c00706, 2020. Yip, S., Ferro, C. A. T., Stephenson, D. B., & Hawkins, E.: A Simple, coherent framework for partitioning uncertainty in climate predi
 Warming experiments underpredict plant phenological responses to climate change, Nature, 485, 7399, 494–497, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11014, 2012. Wood, A. W., Leung, L. R., Sridhar, V., & Lettenmaier, D. P.: Hydrologic implications of dynamical and statistical approaches to downscaling climate model outputs, Clim. Change, 62, 1–3, 189–216, https://doi.org/10.1023/B:CLIM.0000013685.99609.9e, 2004. Yang, Q., Tian, H., Friedrichs, M. A. M., Liu, M., Li, X., & Yang, J.: Hydrological responses to climate and land-use changes along the north american cast coast: A 110-Year historical reconstruction, J. Am. Water Resour. Assoc., 51, 1, 47–67, https://doi.org/10.1111/jawr.12232, 2015. Yang, X., Wang, X., Cai, Z., & Cao, W.: Detecting spatiotemporal variations of maximum rainfall intensities at various time intervals across Virginia in the past half century, Atmos. Res., 255, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2021.105534, 2021. Yao, Y., Tian, H., Pan, S., Najjar, R. G., Friedrichs, M. A. M., Bian, Z., Li, H. Y., & Hofmann, E. E.: Riverine Carbon Cycling Over the Past Century in the Mid-Atlantic Region of the United States, J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences, 126, 5, 1–21, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JG005968, 2021. Yau, Y. Y., Baker, D. M., & Thibodeau, B.: Quantifying the Impact of Anthropogenic Atmospheric Nitrogen Deposition on the Generation of Hypoxia under Future Emission Scenarios in Chinese Coastal Waters, Environ. Sci. Technol., 54, 7, 3920–3928, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c00706, 2020. Yip, S., Ferro, C. A. T., Stephenson, D. B., & Hawkins, E.: A Simple, coherent framework for partitioning uncertainty in climate predictions, J. Clim., 24, 17, 4634–4643, https://doi.org/10.1175/2011JCL14085.1, 2011. Zahran, A. R., Zhang, Q., Tango, P., & Smith, E. P.: A water quality barometer for Chesapeake Bay: Assessing spatial and temporal patterns using long-term monitoring data, Ecol. Indic., 140, April, 109022, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eco
 485, 7399, 494-497, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11014, 2012. Wood, A. W., Leung, L. R., Sridhar, V., & Lettenmaier, D. P.: Hydrologic implications of dynamical and statistical approaches to downscaling climate model outputs, Clim. Change, 62, 1–3, 189–216, https://doi.org/10.1023/B:CLIM.0000013685.99609.9e, 2004. Yang, Q., Tian, H., Friedrichs, M. A. M., Liu, M., Li, X., & Yang, J.: Hydrological responses to climate and land-use changes along the north american east coast: A 110-Year historical reconstruction, J. Am. Water Resour. Assoc., 51, 1, 47–67, https://doi.org/10.1111/jawr.12232, 2015. Yang, X., Wang, X., Cai, Z., & Cao, W.: Detecting spatiotemporal variations of maximum rainfall intensities at various time intervals across Virginia in the past half century, Atmos. Res., 255, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2021.105534, 2021. Yao, Y., Tian, H., Pan, S., Najjar, R. G., Friedrichs, M. A. M., Bian, Z., Li, H. Y., & Hofmann, E. E.: Riverine Carbon Cycling Over the Past Century in the Mid-Atlantic Region of the United States, J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences, 126, 5, 1–21, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JG005968, 2021. Yau, Y. Y., Baker, D. M., & Thibodeau, B.: Quantifying the Impact of Anthropogenic Atmospheric Nitrogen Deposition on the Generation of Hypoxia under Future Emission Scenarios in Chinese Coastal Waters, Environ. Sci. Technol., 54, 7, 3920–3928, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c00706, 2020. Yip, S., Ferro, C. A. T., Stephenson, D. B., & Hawkins, E.: A Simple, coherent framework for partitioning uncertainty in climate predictions, J. Clim., 24, 17, 4634–4643, https://doi.org/10.1175/2011JCLI4085.1, 2011. Zahran, A. R., Zhang, Q., Tango, P., & Smith, E. P.: A water quality barometer for Chesapeake Bay: Assessing spatial and temporal patterns using long-term monitoring data, Ecol. Indic., 140, April, 109022, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccolind.2022.109022, 2022.
 Wood, A. W., Leung, L. R., Sridhar, V., & Lettenmaier, D. P.: Hydrologic implications of dynamical and statistical approaches to downscaling climate model outputs, Clim. Change, 62, 1–3, 189–216, https://doi.org/10.1023/B:CLIM.0000013685.99609.9e, 2004. Yang, Q., Tian, H., Friedrichs, M. A. M., Liu, M., Li, X., & Yang, J.: Hydrological responses to climate and land-use changes along the north american east coast: A 110-Year historical reconstruction, J. Am. Water Resour. Assoc., 51, 1, 47–67, https://doi.org/10.1111/jawr.12232, 2015. Yang, X., Wang, X., Cai, Z., & Cao, W.: Detecting spatiotemporal variations of maximum rainfall intensities at various time intervals across Virginia in the past half century, Atmos. Res., 255, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2021.105534, 2021. Yao, Y., Tian, H., Pan, S., Najjar, R. G., Friedrichs, M. A. M., Bian, Z., Li, H. Y., & Hofmann, E. E.: Riverine Carbon Cycling Over the Past Century in the Mid-Atlantic Region of the United States, J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences, 126, 5, 1–21, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JG005968, 2021. Yau, Y. Y., Baker, D. M., & Thibodeau, B.: Quantifying the Impact of Anthropogenic Atmospheric Nitrogen Deposition on the Generation of Hypoxia under Future Emission Scenarios in Chinese Coastal Waters, Environ. Sci. Technol., 54, 7, 3920–3928, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c00706, 2020. Yip, S., Ferro, C. A. T., Stephenson, D. B., & Hawkins, E.: A Simple, coherent framework for partitioning uncertainty in climate predictions, J. Clim., 24, 17, 4634–4643, https://doi.org/10.1175/2011JCLI4085.1, 2011. Zahran, A. R., Zhang, Q., Tango, P., & Smith, E. P.: A water quality barometer for Chesapeake Bay: Assessing spatial and temporal patterns using long-term monitoring data, Ecol. Indic., 140, April, 109022, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2022.109022, 2022.
 dynamical and statistical approaches to downscaling climate model outputs, Clim. Change, 62, 1–3, 189–216, https://doi.org/10.1023/B:CLIM.0000013685.99609.9e, 2004. Yang, Q., Tian, H., Friedrichs, M. A. M., Liu, M., Li, X., & Yang, J.: Hydrological responses to climate and land-use changes along the north american east coast: A 110-Year historical reconstruction, J. Am. Water Resour. Assoc., 51, 1, 47–67, https://doi.org/10.1111/jawr.12232, 2015. Yang, X., Wang, X., Cai, Z., & Cao, W.: Detecting spatiotemporal variations of maximum rainfall intensities at various time intervals across Virginia in the past half century, Atmos. Res., 255, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2021.105534, 2021. Yao, Y., Tian, H., Pan, S., Najjar, R. G., Friedrichs, M. A. M., Bian, Z., Li, H. Y., & Hofmann, E. E.: Riverine Carbon Cycling Over the Past Century in the Mid-Atlantic Region of the United States, J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences, 126, 5, 1–21, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JG005968, 2021. Yau, Y. Y., Baker, D. M., & Thibodeau, B.: Quantifying the Impact of Anthropogenic Atmospheric Nitrogen Deposition on the Generation of Hypoxia under Future Emission Scenarios in Chinese Coastal Waters, Environ. Sci. Technol., 54, 7, 3920–3928, https://doi.org/10.1012/acs.est.0c00706, 2020. Yip, S., Ferro, C. A. T., Stephenson, D. B., & Hawkins, E.: A Simple, coherent framework for partitioning uncertainty in climate predictions, J. Clim., 24, 17, 4634–4643, https://doi.org/10.1175/2011JCL14085.1, 2011. Zahran, A. R., Zhang, Q., Tango, P., & Smith, E. P.: A water quality barometer for Chesapeake Bay: Assessing spatial and temporal patterns using long-term monitoring data, Ecol. Indic., 140, April, 109022, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2022.109022, 2022.
 62, 1–3, 189–216, https://doi.org/10.1023/B:CLIM.0000013685.99609.9e, 2004. Yang, Q., Tian, H., Friedrichs, M. A. M., Liu, M., Li, X., & Yang, J.: Hydrological responses to climate and land-use changes along the north american east coast: A 110-Year historical reconstruction, J. Am. Water Resour. Assoc., 51, 1, 47–67, https://doi.org/10.1111/jawr.12232, 2015. Yang, X., Wang, X., Cai, Z., & Cao, W.: Detecting spatiotemporal variations of maximum rainfall intensities at various time intervals across Virginia in the past half century, Atmos. Res., 255, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2021.105534, 2021. Yao, Y., Tian, H., Pan, S., Najjar, R. G., Friedrichs, M. A. M., Bian, Z., Li, H. Y., & Hofmann, E. E.: Riverine Carbon Cycling Over the Past Century in the Mid-Atlantic Region of the United States, J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences, 126, 5, 1–21, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JG005968, 2021. Yau, Y. Y., Baker, D. M., & Thibodeau, B.: Quantifying the Impact of Anthropogenic Atmospheric Nitrogen Deposition on the Generation of Hypoxia under Future Emission Scenarios in Chinese Coastal Waters, Environ. Sci. Technol., 54, 7, 3920–3928, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c00706, 2020. Yip, S., Ferro, C. A. T., Stephenson, D. B., & Hawkins, E.: A Simple, coherent framework for partitioning uncertainty in climate predictions, J. Clim., 24, 17, 4634–4643, https://doi.org/10.1175/2011JCL14085.1, 2011. Zahran, A. R., Zhang, Q., Tango, P., & Smith, E. P.: A water quality barometer for Chesapeake Bay: Assessing spatial and temporal patterns using long-term monitoring data, Ecol. Indic., 140, April, 109022, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2022.109022, 2022.
 Yang, Q., Tian, H., Friedrichs, M. A. M., Liu, M., Li, X., & Yang, J.: Hydrological responses to climate and land-use changes along the north american east coast: A 110-Year historical reconstruction, J. Am. Water Resour. Assoc., 51, 1, 47–67, https://doi.org/10.1111/jawr.12232, 2015. Yang, X., Wang, X., Cai, Z., & Cao, W.: Detecting spatiotemporal variations of maximum rainfall intensities at various time intervals across Virginia in the past half century, Atmos. Res., 255, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2021.105534, 2021. Yao, Y., Tian, H., Pan, S., Najjar, R. G., Friedrichs, M. A. M., Bian, Z., Li, H. Y., & Hofmann, E. E.: Riverine Carbon Cycling Over the Past Century in the Mid-Atlantic Region of the United States, J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences, 126, 5, 1–21, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JG005968, 2021. Yau, Y. Y., Baker, D. M., & Thibodeau, B.: Quantifying the Impact of Anthropogenic Atmospheric Nitrogen Deposition on the Generation of Hypoxia under Future Emission Scenarios in Chinese Coastal Waters, Environ. Sci. Technol., 54, 7, 3920–3928, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c00706, 2020. Yip, S., Ferro, C. A. T., Stephenson, D. B., & Hawkins, E.: A Simple, coherent framework for partitioning uncertainty in climate predictions, J. Clim., 24, 17, 4634–4643, https://doi.org/10.1175/2011JCLI4085.1, 2011. Zahran, A. R., Zhang, Q., Tango, P., & Smith, E. P.: A water quality barometer for Chesapeake Bay: Assessing spatial and temporal patterns using long-term monitoring data, Ecol. Indic., 140, April, 109022, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2022.109022, 2022.
 climate and land-use changes along the north american east coast: A 110-Year historical reconstruction, J. Am. Water Resour. Assoc., 51, 1, 47–67, https://doi.org/10.1111/jawr.12232, 2015. Yang, X., Wang, X., Cai, Z., & Cao, W.: Detecting spatiotemporal variations of maximum rainfall intensities at various time intervals across Virginia in the past half century, Atmos. Res., 255, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2021.105534, 2021. Yao, Y., Tian, H., Pan, S., Najjar, R. G., Friedrichs, M. A. M., Bian, Z., Li, H. Y., & Hofmann, E. E.: Riverine Carbon Cycling Over the Past Century in the Mid-Atlantic Region of the United States, J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences, 126, 5, 1–21, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JG005968, 2021. Yau, Y. Y., Baker, D. M., & Thibodeau, B.: Quantifying the Impact of Anthropogenic Atmospheric Nitrogen Deposition on the Generation of Hypoxia under Future Emission Scenarios in Chinese Coastal Waters, Environ. Sci. Technol., 54, 7, 3920–3928, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c00706, 2020. Yip, S., Ferro, C. A. T., Stephenson, D. B., & Hawkins, E.: A Simple, coherent framework for partitioning uncertainty in climate predictions, J. Clim., 24, 17, 4634–4643, https://doi.org/10.1175/2011JCLI4085.1, 2011. Zahran, A. R., Zhang, Q., Tango, P., & Smith, E. P.: A water quality barometer for Chesapeake Bay: Assessing spatial and temporal patterns using long-term monitoring data, Ecol. Indic., 140, April, 109022, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2022.109022, 2022.
 reconstruction, J. Am. Water Resour. Assoc., 51, 1, 4/–6/, https://doi.org/10.1111/jawr.12232, 2015. Yang, X., Wang, X., Cai, Z., & Cao, W.: Detecting spatiotemporal variations of maximum rainfall intensities at various time intervals across Virginia in the past half century, Atmos. Res., 255, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2021.105534, 2021. Yao, Y., Tian, H., Pan, S., Najjar, R. G., Friedrichs, M. A. M., Bian, Z., Li, H. Y., & Hofmann, E. E.: Riverine Carbon Cycling Over the Past Century in the Mid-Atlantic Region of the United States, J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences, 126, 5, 1–21, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JG005968, 2021. Yau, Y. Y., Baker, D. M., & Thibodeau, B.: Quantifying the Impact of Anthropogenic Atmospheric Nitrogen Deposition on the Generation of Hypoxia under Future Emission Scenarios in Chinese Coastal Waters, Environ. Sci. Technol., 54, 7, 3920–3928, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c00706, 2020. Yip, S., Ferro, C. A. T., Stephenson, D. B., & Hawkins, E.: A Simple, coherent framework for partitioning uncertainty in climate predictions, J. Clim., 24, 17, 4634–4643, https://doi.org/10.1175/2011JCL14085.1, 2011. Zahran, A. R., Zhang, Q., Tango, P., & Smith, E. P.: A water quality barometer for Chesapeake Bay: Assessing spatial and temporal patterns using long-term monitoring data, Ecol. Indic., 140, April, 109022, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2022.109022, 2022.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/jawr.12232, 2015. Yang, X., Wang, X., Cai, Z., & Cao, W.: Detecting spatiotemporal variations of maximum rainfall intensities at various time intervals across Virginia in the past half century, Atmos. Res., 255, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2021.105534, 2021. Yao, Y., Tian, H., Pan, S., Najjar, R. G., Friedrichs, M. A. M., Bian, Z., Li, H. Y., & Hofmann, E. E.: Riverine Carbon Cycling Over the Past Century in the Mid-Atlantic Region of the United States, J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences, 126, 5, 1–21, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JG005968, 2021. Yau, Y. Y., Baker, D. M., & Thibodeau, B.: Quantifying the Impact of Anthropogenic Atmospheric Nitrogen Deposition on the Generation of Hypoxia under Future Emission Scenarios in Chinese Coastal Waters, Environ. Sci. Technol., 54, 7, 3920–3928, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c00706, 2020. Yip, S., Ferro, C. A. T., Stephenson, D. B., & Hawkins, E.: A Simple, coherent framework for partitioning uncertainty in climate predictions, J. Clim., 24, 17, 4634–4643, https://doi.org/10.1175/2011JCLI4085.1, 2011. Zahran, A. R., Zhang, Q., Tango, P., & Smith, E. P.: A water quality barometer for Chesapeake Bay: Assessing spatial and temporal patterns using long-term monitoring data, Ecol. Indic., 140, April, 109022, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2022.109022, 2022.
 Yang, X., Wang, X., Cai, Z., & Cao, W.: Detecting spatiotemporal variations of maximum rainfall intensities at various time intervals across Virginia in the past half century, Atmos. Res., 255, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2021.105534, 2021. Yao, Y., Tian, H., Pan, S., Najjar, R. G., Friedrichs, M. A. M., Bian, Z., Li, H. Y., & Hofmann, E. E.: Riverine Carbon Cycling Over the Past Century in the Mid-Atlantic Region of the United States, J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences, 126, 5, 1–21, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JG005968, 2021. Yau, Y. Y., Baker, D. M., & Thibodeau, B.: Quantifying the Impact of Anthropogenic Atmospheric Nitrogen Deposition on the Generation of Hypoxia under Future Emission Scenarios in Chinese Coastal Waters, Environ. Sci. Technol., 54, 7, 3920–3928, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c00706, 2020. Yip, S., Ferro, C. A. T., Stephenson, D. B., & Hawkins, E.: A Simple, coherent framework for partitioning uncertainty in climate predictions, J. Clim., 24, 17, 4634–4643, https://doi.org/10.1175/2011JCLI4085.1, 2011. Zahran, A. R., Zhang, Q., Tango, P., & Smith, E. P.: A water quality barometer for Chesapeake Bay: Assessing spatial and temporal patterns using long-term monitoring data, Ecol. Indic., 140, April, 109022, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2022.109022, 2022.
 rainfall intensities at various time intervals across Virginia in the past half century, Atmos. Res., 255, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2021.105534, 2021. Yao, Y., Tian, H., Pan, S., Najjar, R. G., Friedrichs, M. A. M., Bian, Z., Li, H. Y., & Hofmann, E. E.: Riverine Carbon Cycling Over the Past Century in the Mid-Atlantic Region of the United States, J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences, 126, 5, 1–21, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JG005968, 2021. Yau, Y. Y., Baker, D. M., & Thibodeau, B.: Quantifying the Impact of Anthropogenic Atmospheric Nitrogen Deposition on the Generation of Hypoxia under Future Emission Scenarios in Chinese Coastal Waters, Environ. Sci. Technol., 54, 7, 3920–3928, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c00706, 2020. Yip, S., Ferro, C. A. T., Stephenson, D. B., & Hawkins, E.: A Simple, coherent framework for partitioning uncertainty in climate predictions, J. Clim., 24, 17, 4634–4643, https://doi.org/10.1175/2011JCLI4085.1, 2011. Zahran, A. R., Zhang, Q., Tango, P., & Smith, E. P.: A water quality barometer for Chesapeake Bay: Assessing spatial and temporal patterns using long-term monitoring data, Ecol. Indic., 140, April, 109022, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2022.109022, 2022.
 Res., 255, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2021.105534, 2021. Yao, Y., Tian, H., Pan, S., Najjar, R. G., Friedrichs, M. A. M., Bian, Z., Li, H. Y., & Hofmann, E. E.: Riverine Carbon Cycling Over the Past Century in the Mid-Atlantic Region of the United States, J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences, 126, 5, 1–21, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JG005968, 2021. Yau, Y. Y., Baker, D. M., & Thibodeau, B.: Quantifying the Impact of Anthropogenic Atmospheric Nitrogen Deposition on the Generation of Hypoxia under Future Emission Scenarios in Chinese Coastal Waters, Environ. Sci. Technol., 54, 7, 3920–3928, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c00706, 2020. Yip, S., Ferro, C. A. T., Stephenson, D. B., & Hawkins, E.: A Simple, coherent framework for partitioning uncertainty in climate predictions, J. Clim., 24, 17, 4634–4643, https://doi.org/10.1175/2011JCLI4085.1, 2011. Zahran, A. R., Zhang, Q., Tango, P., & Smith, E. P.: A water quality barometer for Chesapeake Bay: Assessing spatial and temporal patterns using long-term monitoring data, Ecol. Indic., 140, April, 109022, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2022.109022, 2022.
 Yao, Y., Tian, H., Pan, S., Najjar, R. G., Friedrichs, M. A. M., Bian, Z., Li, H. Y., & Hofmann, E. E.: Riverine Carbon Cycling Over the Past Century in the Mid-Atlantic Region of the United States, J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences, 126, 5, 1–21, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JG005968, 2021. Yau, Y. Y., Baker, D. M., & Thibodeau, B.: Quantifying the Impact of Anthropogenic Atmospheric Nitrogen Deposition on the Generation of Hypoxia under Future Emission Scenarios in Chinese Coastal Waters, Environ. Sci. Technol., 54, 7, 3920–3928, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c00706, 2020. Yip, S., Ferro, C. A. T., Stephenson, D. B., & Hawkins, E.: A Simple, coherent framework for partitioning uncertainty in climate predictions, J. Clim., 24, 17, 4634–4643, https://doi.org/10.1175/2011JCLI4085.1, 2011. Zahran, A. R., Zhang, Q., Tango, P., & Smith, E. P.: A water quality barometer for Chesapeake Bay: Assessing spatial and temporal patterns using long-term monitoring data, Ecol. Indic., 140, April, 109022, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2022.109022, 2022.
 E. E.: Riverine Carbon Cycling Over the Past Century in the Mid-Atlantic Region of the United States, J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences, 126, 5, 1–21, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JG005968, 2021. Yau, Y. Y., Baker, D. M., & Thibodeau, B.: Quantifying the Impact of Anthropogenic Atmospheric Nitrogen Deposition on the Generation of Hypoxia under Future Emission Scenarios in Chinese Coastal Waters, Environ. Sci. Technol., 54, 7, 3920–3928, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c00706, 2020. Yip, S., Ferro, C. A. T., Stephenson, D. B., & Hawkins, E.: A Simple, coherent framework for partitioning uncertainty in climate predictions, J. Clim., 24, 17, 4634–4643, https://doi.org/10.1175/2011JCLI4085.1, 2011. Zahran, A. R., Zhang, Q., Tango, P., & Smith, E. P.: A water quality barometer for Chesapeake Bay: Assessing spatial and temporal patterns using long-term monitoring data, Ecol. Indic., 140, April, 109022, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2022.109022, 2022.
 United States, J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences, 126, 5, 1–21, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JG005968, 2021. Yau, Y. Y., Baker, D. M., & Thibodeau, B.: Quantifying the Impact of Anthropogenic Atmospheric Nitrogen Deposition on the Generation of Hypoxia under Future Emission Scenarios in Chinese Coastal Waters, Environ. Sci. Technol., 54, 7, 3920–3928, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c00706, 2020. Yip, S., Ferro, C. A. T., Stephenson, D. B., & Hawkins, E.: A Simple, coherent framework for partitioning uncertainty in climate predictions, J. Clim., 24, 17, 4634–4643, https://doi.org/10.1175/2011JCLI4085.1, 2011. Zahran, A. R., Zhang, Q., Tango, P., & Smith, E. P.: A water quality barometer for Chesapeake Bay: Assessing spatial and temporal patterns using long-term monitoring data, Ecol. Indic., 140, April, 109022, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2022.109022, 2022.
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JG005968, 2021. Yau, Y. Y., Baker, D. M., & Thibodeau, B.: Quantifying the Impact of Anthropogenic Atmospheric Nitrogen Deposition on the Generation of Hypoxia under Future Emission Scenarios in Chinese Coastal Waters, Environ. Sci. Technol., 54, 7, 3920–3928, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c00706, 2020. Yip, S., Ferro, C. A. T., Stephenson, D. B., & Hawkins, E.: A Simple, coherent framework for partitioning uncertainty in climate predictions, J. Clim., 24, 17, 4634–4643, https://doi.org/10.1175/2011JCLI4085.1, 2011. Zahran, A. R., Zhang, Q., Tango, P., & Smith, E. P.: A water quality barometer for Chesapeake Bay: Assessing spatial and temporal patterns using long-term monitoring data, Ecol. Indic., 140, April, 109022, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2022.109022, 2022.
 Yau, Y. Y., Baker, D. M., & Thibodeau, B.: Quantifying the Impact of Anthropogenic Atmospheric Nitrogen Deposition on the Generation of Hypoxia under Future Emission Scenarios in Chinese Coastal Waters, Environ. Sci. Technol., 54, 7, 3920–3928, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c00706, 2020. Yip, S., Ferro, C. A. T., Stephenson, D. B., & Hawkins, E.: A Simple, coherent framework for partitioning uncertainty in climate predictions, J. Clim., 24, 17, 4634–4643, https://doi.org/10.1175/2011JCLI4085.1, 2011. Zahran, A. R., Zhang, Q., Tango, P., & Smith, E. P.: A water quality barometer for Chesapeake Bay: Assessing spatial and temporal patterns using long-term monitoring data, Ecol. Indic., 140, April, 109022, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2022.109022, 2022.
 Atmospheric Nitrogen Deposition on the Generation of Hypoxia under Future Emission Scenarios in Chinese Coastal Waters, Environ. Sci. Technol., 54, 7, 3920–3928, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c00706, 2020. Yip, S., Ferro, C. A. T., Stephenson, D. B., & Hawkins, E.: A Simple, coherent framework for partitioning uncertainty in climate predictions, J. Clim., 24, 17, 4634–4643, https://doi.org/10.1175/2011JCLI4085.1, 2011. Zahran, A. R., Zhang, Q., Tango, P., & Smith, E. P.: A water quality barometer for Chesapeake Bay: Assessing spatial and temporal patterns using long-term monitoring data, Ecol. Indic., 140, April, 109022, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2022.109022, 2022.
 Scenarios in Chinese Coastal Waters, Environ. Sci. Technol., 54, 7, 3920–3928, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c00706, 2020. Yip, S., Ferro, C. A. T., Stephenson, D. B., & Hawkins, E.: A Simple, coherent framework for partitioning uncertainty in climate predictions, J. Clim., 24, 17, 4634–4643, https://doi.org/10.1175/2011JCLI4085.1, 2011. Zahran, A. R., Zhang, Q., Tango, P., & Smith, E. P.: A water quality barometer for Chesapeake Bay: Assessing spatial and temporal patterns using long-term monitoring data, Ecol. Indic., 140, April, 109022, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2022.109022, 2022. Zhang, Q. Murraky, P. P. Tion, P. Forenth, M. K. Transforder, F. M. Keirman, L. & Transforder, P. M.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c00706, 2020. Yip, S., Ferro, C. A. T., Stephenson, D. B., & Hawkins, E.: A Simple, coherent framework for partitioning uncertainty in climate predictions, J. Clim., 24, 17, 4634–4643, https://doi.org/10.1175/2011JCLI4085.1, 2011. Zahran, A. R., Zhang, Q., Tango, P., & Smith, E. P.: A water quality barometer for Chesapeake Bay: Assessing spatial and temporal patterns using long-term monitoring data, Ecol. Indic., 140, April, 109022, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2022.109022, 2022. Zhang, Q. Murraky, P. P., Tion, P., Forgett, M. K., Tagatagata, F. M., Kairang, L. & Tagata, P.
 Yip, S., Ferro, C. A. T., Stephenson, D. B., & Hawkins, E.: A Simple, coherent framework for partitioning uncertainty in climate predictions, J. Clim., 24, 17, 4634–4643, https://doi.org/10.1175/2011JCLI4085.1, 2011. Zahran, A. R., Zhang, Q., Tango, P., & Smith, E. P.: A water quality barometer for Chesapeake Bay: Assessing spatial and temporal patterns using long-term monitoring data, Ecol. Indic., 140, April, 109022, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2022.109022, 2022. Zhang, Q., Murrhy, P. P., Tion, P., Forenth, M. K., Transcapeta, F. M., Kairwan, L. & Transcapeta, F. M., Kairwan, K. & Transcapeta, F. M., Kairwan, L. & Transcapeta, F. M., Kairwan, K. & Transcapeta, F. M. & Kairwan, K. & Transcapeta, F. M. & Kairwan, K. & Kairw
 partitioning uncertainty in climate predictions, J. Clim., 24, 17, 4634–4643, https://doi.org/10.1175/2011JCLI4085.1, 2011. Zahran, A. R., Zhang, Q., Tango, P., & Smith, E. P.: A water quality barometer for Chesapeake Bay: Assessing spatial and temporal patterns using long-term monitoring data, Ecol. Indic., 140, April, 109022, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2022.109022, 2022. Zhang, Q. Murzhy, P. P. Tion, P. Forenth, M. K. Taratageata, E. M. Vairanga, L. & Taratageata, P. M.
 https://doi.org/10.1175/2011JCLI4085.1, 2011. Zahran, A. R., Zhang, Q., Tango, P., & Smith, E. P.: A water quality barometer for Chesapeake Bay: Assessing spatial and temporal patterns using long-term monitoring data, Ecol. Indic., 140, April, 109022, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2022.109022, 2022. Zhang, Q. Murzhy, P. P. Tion, P. Forguth, M. K. Tagatagata, E. M. Kaizuga, L. & Tagata, P.
 Zahran, A. R., Zhang, Q., Tango, P., & Smith, E. P.: A water quality barometer for Chesapeake Bay: Assessing spatial and temporal patterns using long-term monitoring data, Ecol. Indic., 140, April, 109022, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2022.109022, 2022. Zhang, Q. Murraky, P. P., Tion, P., Forguth, M. K., Tagatagata, E. M., Kairang, L. & Tagatagata, P.
 Bay: Assessing spatial and temporal patterns using long-term monitoring data, Ecol. Indic., 140, April, 109022, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2022.109022, 2022. Theng O. Murrhy, P. P. Tion, P. Forgett, M. K. Transforder, F. M. Kaizara, L. & Transforder, P. M. Kaizara, J. & Transforder, P. M. Kaizara, P. & Transforder, P. & Transforder,
1161 140, April, 109022, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2022.109022, 2022.
1162 Thoma O Mumber D D Tion D Equarth M V Transformer E M Vienney I O Trans D
Linang, Q., Murphy, K. K., Han, K., Forsyin, M. K., Frentacoste, E. M., Kelsman, J., & Tango, P.
1163 J.: Chesapeake Bay's water quality condition has been recovering: Insights from a
1164 multimetric indicator assessment of thirty years of tidal monitoring data, Sci. Total Environ.,
1165 637–638, 1617–1625, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.05.025, 2018.
Lhang, W., Dunne, J. P., Wu, H., & Zhou, F.: Regional projection of climate warming effects on
 Lhang, W., Dunne, J. P., Wu, H., & Zhou, F.: Regional projection of climate warming effects on coastal seas in east China, Environ. Res. Lett., 17, 7, 074006, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-





- 1169 Zhang, W., Moriarty, J. M., Wu, H., & Feng, Y.: Response of bottom hypoxia off the Changjiang
- 1170 River Estuary to multiple factors: A numerical study, Ocean Model., 159, May 2020,
- 1171 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2021.101751, 2021.
- 1172





Tables and Figures 1173

1174

1176

Table 1. Experiments conducted to quantify future changes in Annual Hypoxic Volume (AHV). 1175

Experiment	Number of	Number of	Number of watershed	Number of
Name	ESMs	downscaling techniques	models	simulations
Multi-Factor	5 ^a	2 (MACA and BCSD)	2 (DLEM and Phase 6)	20 ^b
Management	5 ^a	1 (MACA)	1 (Phase 6)	5°
All-ESMs	20	1 (MACA)	1 (DLEM)	20

1177 ^aCorresponding to the KKZ-selected subset of five ESMs: Center, Cool/Dry, Hot/Wet, Cool/Wet, and Hot/Dry for both MACA

and BCSD downscaled model outputs.

1178 1179 ^bAdditional scenarios were simulated for the Multi-Factor experiment as needed (for the Center and Hot/Wet ESMs) to

1180 accurately partition uncertainty in model outcomes.

1181 °An additional scenario simulated the effects of future management conditions without climate change impacts.





- **Table 2:** Nash-Sutcliffe efficiencies of the DLEM and Phase 6 Watershed Models at the most
- 1183 downstream stations of three major rivers, for monthly estimates of discharge and nutrient
- 1184 loading over the period 1991-2000. Nash-Sutcliffe efficiencies equal to one are indicative of
- 1185 perfect model skill and negative values indicate that error variance exceeds the observed
- 1186 variance.

Major River	Freshwater	Freshwater Discharge		Nitrate Loading		Organic Nitrogen Loading	
	DLEM	Phase 6	DLEM	Phase 6	DLEM	Phase 6	
Susquehanna	0.74	0.88	0.60	0.78	0.37	0.12	
Potomac	0.59	0.90	0.32	0.87	0.34	-0.69	
James	0.59	0.92	-1.05	0.42	0.51	0.72	

1187





Variable	Depth	Watershed model	ChesROMS-ECB estimate	Observed estimate ^a	Bias	RMSD
	Surface	DLEM	7.9 ± 2.3	9.3 ± 2.0	-1.4	2.2
O_2	Surrace	Phase 6	8.0 ± 2.3	<i>y.s</i> = 2.0	-1.4	2.2
[mg L ⁻¹]	Bottom	DLEM	6.1 ± 3.5	57+35	0.4	1.7
	Dottolli	Phase 6	6.2 ± 3.4	5.7 ± 5.5	0.5	1.6
	Sumface	DLEM	0.32 ± 0.36	0.22 ± 0.22	0.09	0.23
NO ₃	Surface	Phase 6	0.30 ± 0.37	0.23 ± 0.33	0.06	0.22
[mmol N m ³]	Dattam	DLEM	0.27 ± 0.33	0.14 ± 0.24	0.13	0.25
	Bottom	Phase 6	0.25 ± 0.33	0.14 ± 0.24	0.11	0.23
	Sumface	DLEM	0.27 ± 0.05	0.29 ± 0.09	-0.00	0.08
DON	Surface	Phase 6	0.32 ± 0.08	0.28 ± 0.08	0.05	0.12
[mmol N m ³]	Dattan	DLEM	0.27 ± 0.05	0.26 ± 0.08	0.00	0.08
	Bottom	Phase 6	0.31 ± 0.08	0.20 ± 0.08	0.04	0.11
Primary Production	Water	DLEM	1146 ± 154^{b}	957 ± 287	189	N/A
$[mg C m^{-2} d^{-1}]$	Column	Phase 6	1133 ± 129	JJT ± 201	176	11/71
AHV	Water	DLEM	987 ± 254	785 + 201	202	250
[km ³ d]	Column	Phase 6	906 ± 199	783 ± 201	121	212

1188	Table 3: Model skill metrics over the reference period (1991-2000)

1189 1190

^aObserved estimates and standard deviations for O₂, NO₃, and DON are from the WQMP at 20 main stem stations. Observed

estimate and standard error for primary production are derived from Harding et al. (2002), averaged over Feb-Nov for the years
 1982-1998. Observed estimate and standard deviation for AHV is derived by applying a weighted-distance interpolation model to
 observed O₂ at a limited number of stations (Bever et al., 2013).

¹¹⁹³ ^bModeled primary production is computed only over Feb-Nov for comparison with the observed estimate.





	Watershed Freshwater Discharge [km ³ v ⁻¹]							
Watershed Model	DLEM		Phase 6		Phase 6 with Management			
1990s	84 ±	= 26	72 ±	= 21	74 ± 21			
2050s Downscaling	MACA	BCSD	MACA	BCSD	MACA			
Center	87 ± 28	74 ± 24	78 ± 21	80 ± 22	79 ± 21			
Cool/Dry	76 ± 24	75 ± 24	67 ± 19	77 ± 22	68 ± 19			
Hot/Wet	84 ± 29	86 ± 29	79 ± 22	77 ± 21	80 ± 22			
Hot/Dry	77 ± 25	74 ± 23	70 ± 20	68 ± 20	72 ± 20			
Cool/Wet	93 ± 29	95 ± 30	83 ± 22	80 ± 22	84 ± 22			
ESM Average	84 ± 27	81 ± 26	75 ± 21	76 ± 21	77 ± 21			
	Wate	ershed Nitroge	n Loading [10 ⁹ g	N y ⁻¹]				
Watershed Model	DLI	EM	Phas	se 6	Phase 6 with Management			
1990s	151 -	+ 49	147 -	+ 46	87 + 28			

Table 4: Annual average and standard deviations of reference (1991-2000) and climate scenario (2046-2055) watershed loadings and estuarine hypoxia.

Widdei					Management
1990s	200s 151 ± 49 147 ± 46		± 46	87 ± 28	
2050s Downscaling	MACA	BCSD	MACA	BCSD	MACA
Center	159 ± 46	138 ± 41	177 ± 63	192 ± 75	103 ± 36
Cool/Dry	137 ± 39	132 ± 38	133 ± 36	166 ± 53	78 ± 23
Hot/Wet	157 ± 48	153 ± 45	183 ± 66	184 ± 68	105 ± 37
Hot/Dry	149 ± 45	138 ± 41	146 ± 42	140 ± 40	86 ± 26
Cool/Wet	159 ± 43	181 ± 62	301 ± 186	352 ± 244	156 ± 85
ESM Average	152 ± 43	148 ± 48	188 ± 110	207 ± 139	105 ± 53
		Annual Hypoxi	c Volume [km ³ o	d]	
	DLEM				
Watershed Model	DLI	EM	Phas	se 6	Phase 6 with Management
Watershed Model 1990s	DL1 987 ±	EM = 254	Phas 904 ±	se 6	Phase 6 with Management 449 ± 144
Watershed Model 1990s 2050s Downscaling	DLI 987 ± MACA	EM = 254 BCSD	Phas 904 ± MACA	e 6 171 BCSD	Phase 6 with Management 449 ± 144 MACA
Watershed Model 1990s 2050s Downscaling Center	DL1 987 ± MACA 1072 ± 233	EM = 254 BCSD 985 ± 250	Phas 904 ± MACA 926 ± 152	e 6 171 BCSD 938 ± 152	Phase 6 with Management 449 ± 144 MACA 470 ± 131
Watershed Model 1990s 2050s Downscaling Center Cool/Dry	DL1 987 ± MACA 1072 ± 233 994 ± 252	EM = 254 BCSD 985 ± 250 975 ± 257	Phas 904 \pm MACA 926 \pm 152 885 \pm 177	$\begin{array}{c} 171 \\ BCSD \\ 938 \pm 152 \\ 961 \pm 170 \end{array}$	Phase 6 with Management 449 ± 144 MACA 470 ± 131 429 ± 148
Watershed Model 1990s 2050s Downscaling Center Cool/Dry Hot/Wet	DL1 $987 \pm$ MACA 1072 ± 233 994 ± 252 1094 ± 247	EM = 254 BCSD 985 ± 250 975 ± 257 1059 ± 249	Phas $904 \pm$ MACA 926 ± 152 885 ± 177 931 ± 156	$\begin{array}{c} \text{if } 6 \\ \hline 171 \\ \hline BCSD \\ 938 \pm 152 \\ 961 \pm 170 \\ 928 \pm 171 \\ \end{array}$	Phase 6 with Management 449 ± 144 MACA 470 ± 131 429 ± 148 480 ± 131
Watershed Model 1990s 2050s Downscaling Center Cool/Dry Hot/Wet Hot/Dry	DL1 987 \pm MACA 1072 \pm 233 994 \pm 252 1094 \pm 247 1075 \pm 263	EM = 254 BCSD 985 ± 250 975 ± 257 1059 ± 249 996 ± 259	Phas $904 \pm$ MACA 926 ± 152 885 ± 177 931 ± 156 893 ± 164	$\begin{array}{c} \text{if } 6 \\ \hline 171 \\ \hline \text{BCSD} \\ \hline 938 \pm 152 \\ 961 \pm 170 \\ 928 \pm 171 \\ 871 \pm 165 \end{array}$	Phase 6 with Management 449 ± 144 MACA 470 ± 131 429 ± 148 480 ± 131 442 ± 141
Watershed Model 1990s 2050s Downscaling Center Cool/Dry Hot/Wet Hot/Dry Cool/Wet	DLI 987 \pm MACA 1072 \pm 233 994 \pm 252 1094 \pm 247 1075 \pm 263 1011 \pm 204	EM = 254 BCSD 985 ± 250 975 ± 257 1059 ± 249 996 ± 259 1081 ± 238	Phas $904 \pm$ MACA 926 ± 152 885 ± 177 931 ± 156 893 ± 164 969 ± 170	$\begin{array}{c} \text{if } 6 \\ \hline 171 \\ \hline \text{BCSD} \\ \hline 938 \pm 152 \\ 961 \pm 170 \\ 928 \pm 171 \\ 871 \pm 165 \\ 997 \pm 203 \\ \hline \end{array}$	Phase 6 with Management 449 ± 144 MACA 470 ± 131 429 ± 148 480 ± 131 442 ± 141 507 ± 138

1196





Scenario Factor	Effect	Δ AHV, %
	Center	4.4 ± 5.4
	Cool/Dry	0.9 ± 4.3
ESM	Hot/Wet	6.7 ± 6.2
	Hot/Dry	1.4 ± 3.6
	Cool/Wet	8.3 ± 6.5
Doumaalina	MACA	4.8 ± 6.0
Downscamig	BCSD	3.9 ± 5.9
Watershed	DLEM	5.6 ± 7.5
Model	Phase 6	3.1 ± 3.8

Table 5: Average ± standard error in △AHV (%) holding scenario effects (ESM, Downscaling
Method, Watershed Model) constant.









1202 circles), and ChesROMS river input locations (yellow circles). (b) Bathymetry of the

1203 ChesROMS-ECB model domain, river input locations (yellow circles), and 20 CBP main stem

1204 monitoring stations (green triangles).















1211

Figure 3: Changes in November to June precipitation (a, b) and May to October temperatures (c, d) for the MACA (a, c) and BCSD (b, d) Center ESMs between mid-century (2046-2055) and the

1214 reference period (1991-2000).







1215

Figure 4: Diagram of Multi-Factor experimental design, comprising a total of 20 model

1217 scenarios.









Figure 5: ChesROMS-ECB skill for average summer (Jun-Aug) O₂ profiles at main stem
 monitoring locations using watershed inputs from (a) DLEM and (b) Phase 6 over the reference

- 1221 period 1991-2000. (c) Modeled AHV using DLEM and Phase 6 compared to interpolated
- 1222 observations (error bars denote RMS percent error) over the reference period 1991-2000.
- Average hydrologic conditions are noted below corresponding years and signify dry (D), average(A), or wet (W) years.









1228

Figure 6: Mean and standard deviations of changes to freshwater discharge (a), total nitrogen 1229 loadings (b), and annual hypoxic volume (c) for Multi-Factor and Management experiments.







1230

Figure 7: Average TN loadings among ESM scenarios for reference scenarios and various components of the Multi-Factor and Management experiments. TN loadings divided by (a) nitrogen species component: dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN), particulate organic nitrogen (PON), dissolved organic nitrogen (DON), and refractory dissolved organic nitrogen, and (b) by major river basin (SUS = Susquehanna, RAP = Rappahannock, POT = Potomac, YRK = York, EAS denoting eastern shore rivers including the Elk, Chester, Choptank, and Nanticoke, JAM =

¹²³⁷ James, PAX = Patuxent).







1238 1239

Figure 8: Average O₂ changes in Multi-Factor experiment scenarios at the surface (a-c) and

- 1240 bottom (d-f). Columns correspond to average changes for all years (a, d) as well as
- 1241 hydrologically wet (b, e) and dry (c, f) years.





1242



Figure 9: Summary of Multi-Factor experiment results for changes to annual hypoxic volume,
expressed as a histogram of relative frequencies (a) and an empirical cumulative distribution (b).









Figure 10: (a) ΔAHV for the All-ESMs experiment. Red dashed line denotes the multi-model 1246 1247 average of five KKZ-selected ESMs; black dashed line denotes the full 20-model average. (b) ΔAHV and standard errors as estimated by increasing number of KKZ-selected ESMs. Black 1248 1249 lines correspond to 20-model average (solid) and associated standard errors (dotted) from the 1250 All-ESMs experiment. (c) Percent of \triangle AHV range covered by sequentially increasing the 1251 number of KKZ-selected ESMs. Black lines correspond to the range (solid) and associated







- 1253 $\Delta AHV, km^3 d$ 1254 Figure 11: Summary of all experiment results for change in Annual Hypoxic Volume (ΔAHV),
- 1255 expressed as a cumulative distribution function. Black dashed vertical line corresponds to no
- 1256 change in AHV.







1257 1258

Figure 12: Percent contribution to uncertainty from Earth System Model (ESM), downscaling 1259 methodology (DSC), and watershed model (WSM), for estimates of (a) discharge, (b) organic

nitrogen loading, (c) nitrate loading, and (d) change in annual hypoxic volume (ΔAHV). 1260