Per our email correspondence (copied below for reference) we have not made any changes from our previous upload.

-----Original Message-----
From: tykukla@uw.edu <tykukla@uw.edu>
Sent: Monday, August 21, 2023 4:28 PM
To: Copernicus Publications Editorial Support <editorial@copernicus.org>; tykukla@colostate.edu
Cc: jeremy.caves@gmail.com; editor@mailarchive.copernicus.org
Subject: RE: egusphere-2022-1000 (author) - manuscript needs minor revisions

To whom it may concern:

It appears the only minor revisions refer to Polina Shvedko's concerns on the file validation step. I believe I addressed these concerns in my last submission. Could you please clarify where I went wrong?

The first concern involves renaming the supplement. I deleted the supplement title, authors, and contact information following the instructions in the provided link, which state: "Supplements will receive a title page added during the publication process including title ("Supplement of"), authors, and the correspondence email. Therefore, please avoid providing this information in the supplement."

The second concern states: "Please ensure that the colour schemes used in your maps and charts allow readers with colour vision deficiencies to correctly interpret your findings". I have done this too. The only figure where colors may be difficult to distinguish is Figure 2, but in this case, color is aesthetic only. It is *not necessary* to interpret the figure. All colors required for interpretation are interpretable to readers with color vision deficiencies based on my Coblis uploads.

Best,

-Tyler Kukla

-----GMD Reply #1-----
Dear Tyler Kukla,

Thank you very much for your email.

I will get in touch with the handling editor to clarify what the needed minor revisions are and if they indeed refer to Polina's comments. I will get back to you as soon as possible.

Best regards,

Natascha

*****************************************************************************
Dear Natascha, and to Tyler Kukla,

I obviously made some confusion between manuscript versions, and probably also with the state of other papers I handle as editor.

The last version of Kukla et al. is indeed now ready for publication, and we can now go further in the process.

I sincerely apologise for this mess, and once again thanks the authors for publishing in GMD.

Best regards,

Olivier Marti

@Natascha : I can not do nothing on the web site to change the paper state.